

VALDEZ BUILDING BLOCKS

1. Current site historically subjected to flooding and instability. (Water front and facilities slid into bay; inundating waves caused further destruction; 30 lives lost on 27 March)
2. Relocation site (Mineral Creek) endorsed by Scientific and Engineering Task Force No. 9. (Includes siting of replacement deep draft dock and small boat harbor.)
3. Decision to relocate made by City of Valdez authorities on _____.
4. Alaska State legislation was passed in late May which annexed 8,640 acres (metes and bounds description) to the City of Valdez. (While not plotted on plats, assume it includes Mineral Creek site. No land titles are exchanged by this transaction, simply the city limits are expanded.)
5. Land Acquisition Situation:
 - a. About 600 acres of Mineral Creek site are owned by "Meals" and other heirs.
 - b. "Meals" et al will release up to about 115 acres to real property owners in current town site without fee payment. (?)
 - c. "Meals" will retain title to about 500 acres for own use and sale. (?)

- d. Alaska State owns some "stratgic" parcels such as proposed site of the small boat harbor project.
 - e. Some land purchase from "Meals" may be required.
 - f. Specific information apparently cannot be identified until Urban Renewal Plan, coordinated with Corps of Engineers small harbor and city deep draft dock plans (Corps also developing) are presented to and approved by the city authorities.
6. Development and Approval of Mineral Creek Site Plan
- a. Proposed Urban Renewal Plan and Corps of Engineers plans (both OEP and Corps funded increments) are to be presented jointly in "two weeks" - 16 June (?) - to city.
 - b. Assume immediate approval by city authorities.
 - c. Assume prompt approval by echelons of HHFA, Corps, OEP.
7. Develop cost vs. matching requirement realism.
- Establish land and other local (includes State) participation techniques.
8. Construct and/or install roads and utilities in Mineral Creek site this construction season. (See items 9)
9. Specific problems to be examined and resolved:
- A. Make current site habitable for winter season:
 - 1. Water, sewer, (power?) (OEP minimum funding under Corps contract to winterize)

2. Functional repairs to homes and commercial establishments (SBA primarily for stock and equipment)

3. Functional and minimum safety repairs to public facilities. (OEP minimum funding under Corps contract - elimination of facility use if possible, i.e., high school.)

B. Power (and Telephone?) (repair estimated @ \$200,000)

Private ownership but application for REA Coop. decision on 10 June.

OEP funding for damage repair credit on transmission line to new site to be examined.

REA loans @ 2% and 35 years may apply.

C. Sewer

(repair estimated @ \$175,000)

OEP 875 money less winterizing expense applicable to new site.

Deficit from urban renewal project.

Storm sewers from urban renewal.

D. Water supply

(repair estimated @ \$400,000)

OEP 875 money less winterizing expense applicable to new site.

Deficit from urban renewal project.

E. Streets and Highway

(Streets \$150,000
Highway - to new site - 200,000)

OEP 875 money use.

Deficit: Urban renewal and Bureau of Public Roads?

F. Schools

1. Grade

Repair estimated at \$150,000, OEP 875

2. High

(Repair cost at \$)

OEP funds to make safe; remainder to new site
construction

or

Not use this winter and all 875 money be applied
to new site construction.

Deficit from HEW?

G. Hospitals

1. General

(Repair cost estimated at \$600,000 ????)

Operational after only \$30,000 reported cost)

Remain in place or move?

Fund source?

2. Mental (Harbor View) (Replacement cost \$2,500,000)

State decision to rebuild at all, at Valdez (new
or old site), at Anchorage or _____ is awaited.

H. Public Service Facilities

(Repairs estimated as follows:

Fire Station	\$50,000
Police Station	40,000
City offices	75,000
Post Office	25,000)

OEP 875 money available except for Federal property
(if any)

After expenditures for minimum safety requirements,
some credit may be available for new site reconstruction.

Funding of deficit from urban renewal project?

I. Fishery Dock

(Repairs estimated at \$550,000)

OEP 875 fund applicability not yet determined.

SBA loans to cannery may be solution if decision
is adverse.

J. Deep Draft Dock

(Construction estimated at \$2,500,000)

Formal directive from OEP (875) to Corps not yet
issued. Preliminary investigation for design layout
scheduled for presentation about 16 June. Adequate
funding by OEP expected.

K. POL Dock

No known plans by Standard (loss about \$600,000)
or Union (loss about \$800,000)

L. Ferry Dock

(Repair estimated at \$215,000)

OEP 875 funds being planned for presentation 16 June.

About \$60,000 has been spent for temporary facility
in current town site water front.

M. Small Boat Harbor

(Construction cost at \$1,150,000)

Formal planning underway. Layout to be presented
on 16 June.

Authorization for expansion is in Omnibus Bill.

Funding for construction money was deleted from
Deficiency Bill.

Money MUST be appropriated.

N. Inner Harbor Facilities

(Replacement at \$250,000)

OEP 875 funded.

O. Airport

(No damage estimates include Valdez reconstruction)
requirement.)

10. 5BAs 502 Program (FAIRBANKS) -