



NEW MODEL
Eureka Mower

— « WITH » —

Front-Cut Centre-Draft.

WITHOUT A SUCCESSFUL COMPETITOR IN THE FIELD, AND
ACKNOWLEDGED BY ALL WHO HAVE USED THEM, AS
THE ONLY PERFECT MOWER IN THE MARKET.

DISTRIBUTING DEPOTS:

COLUMBUS, OHIO.

TOLEDO, OHIO.

INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

DETROIT, MICH.

HARRISBURG, PA.

GENERAL AGENCIES:

UTICA, N. Y.

PITTSBURGH, PA.

PORTLAND, ME.

VERGENNES, VT.

PEORIA, ILL.

LOUISVILLE, KY.

IOWA CITY, IOWA.

STEAMBOAT ROCK, IA.

ST. LOUIS, MO.

KANSAS CITY, MO.

ST. PAUL, MINN.

MILWAUKEE, WIS.

DENVER, COLORADO.

OFFICE
EUREKA MOWER COMPANY,

TOWANDA, PA., February 1, 1883.

TO THE FARMERS:

Another year has been added to the unparalleled success of the New Model Eureka Mower since we last presented you our annual greeting; and during that year the Eureka has gained many thousand friends, and the acquaintance of our most progressive and enlightened farmers, extending from Maine to Colorado, and not only the better class of farmers have become interested in the success of the Eureka, but the large agricultural implement dealers of the great West have shown their realization of the important fact that their trade *demands* this machine by making contracts with us for them in such quantities, that, in addition to our already large Eastern trade, it will tax our facilities for manufacturing to its utmost capacity to supply the demand for the coming season. This satisfactory result is due to the intelligence of the farmer who quickly discovers that he cannot *afford* to longer waste the time of himself and team by using the narrow side-cut mower, when he can so easily obtain one that will accomplish fully one-third more work with the same or less power, and leave the grass in such condition that it will cure one-third quicker, to say nothing of other superior points of merit to which your attention is called on the following page.

We regret exceedingly that many farmers in the West have been imposed upon by a machine in the form of an imitation of the Eureka that made its appearance last season; but we are pleased to inform them that not only with them, but *everywhere*, it proved a miserable failure, and died an ignominious death, which is the fate of all frauds and imitations.

In conclusion, we respectfully ask you to peruse the accompanying testimonials, giving the opinion of those who have used our machine, and speak from their practical experience, and become convinced that *you* no longer can afford to do without the New Model Eureka Mower.

Respectfully yours,

EUREKA MOWER COMPANY.

THE objection invariably made to the Eureka Mower by those who have never seen them used, is to the *horse walking in the uncut grass*, not taking into consideration the fact that only *one* horse touches the grass (as we use a long neck-yoke and whiffletrees, thus placing the horses *outside* the cut), while with all side-cut mowers *both horses and machine trample on the grass after it has been cut*, leaving it in such a position that only the top is exposed to the air and sun, which becomes overcured, while the grass is still green underneath. With the use of the Eureka, the standing grass trampled by the one horse is cut by cutting *against the lodge* on the return trip of the machine, as we always mow back and forth on one side of the lot, which of itself is of great convenience to the farmer, and cannot be accomplished with a side-cut machine.

By the use of our Fender Rods, the grass is thrown into a light, airy wind-row, dispensing with the use of the Tedder, leaving it standing on end in nearly the same position as before cut, except it is thrown together enough to make sufficient space for the horse and wheels of the machine, accomplishing that which cannot be done by any other mower.

The Eureka Mower enables the farmer to cut his grass rapidly and cure quickly and evenly, which adds greatly to its quality and value. By rapid cutting, the extra time gained for curing is of great importance, coming as it does in most cases during the middle of the day, when the sun is at the meridian. This, together with the very favorable position of the grass, insures early gathering of the hay, and often saving it from afternoon showers.

In addition to above, we would call your attention to our further claims of superiority, which are worthy of consideration, among which we would mention :

1st. *REVERSIBILITY*.—Which gives the choice of the side to mow on, working the machine back and forth on side hills, cutting back and forth across lodged grass, cutting across dead furrows instead of mowing with them, always cutting long swaths—all features of great advantage in practical work.

2d. *EASE OF DRAFT*.—Admitting the use of a five-feet or six-feet cutter-bar without overworking the horses. By practical use, we have fully demonstrated that a six-feet bar is worked with less power than required on the average to work a cutter-bar four feet long on side-cut mowers.

3d. *SIMPLICITY OF CONSTRUCTION*.—Doing away with the bevel-gear and other “complicated and expensive features” of the old side-cut principle.

4th. *CONFORMITY TO SURFACE*.—By the use of our flexible connection, the Finger-Bar has perfect conformity to uneven surfaces, as each end of the bar is independent in its action of the other.

On all the above-named points we challenge a thorough, and exhaustive, practical examination and test in the field, by every farmer who intends to purchase a mower.

EUREKA MOWER COMPANY.

From the Hon. L. S. Coffin, of Fort Dodge, Iowa, member of the Iowa State Board of Agriculture, and writer on Agricultural Topics :

"FORT DODGE MESSENGER," Dec. 7, 1882.

THE EUREKA MOWER :

Last summer we promised our readers we would report on the working of this machine after we had given it a good fair trial and test. Soon after we finished our haying we wrote quite a lengthy article on haying and mowers, and in that gave our experience with the Eureka Mower. For some reason that article never appeared. It was doubtless mislaid or found its way to the waste basket.

What we are about to say at this time in regard to the Mower is more for the benefit of our farmer readers than for dealers in or manufacturers of mowing machines. We cut this year not far from 300 tons of hay, and almost all of it was cut with this six-foot Eureka Mower, whose cutting bar is directly behind the horses. We are ready to say that should we live to commence such a haying season as we had this last year, and some one should offer to make us a present of a common side-cut machine—the choice of all the makes at that—and we could buy a Eureka for \$100, we could not afford to accept the gift. We are aware this is putting it pretty strong, and it sounds like a paid puff, or advertisement. But let us tell the reasons for the faith that is in us : Remember our hay is mostly timothy and clover and generally very heavy. All side-cut machines compel the team and part of the machine to trample and run over the last swath cut. This necessitates spreading the cut grass if one wants to cure his hay well and get it into the barn in the shortest possible time, which every good farmer does. The Eureka leaves the grass standing up light and open so the sun and air can reach every particle. The team nor the machine never disturbs it after it is cut. It needs no spreading. In the best kind of hay weather grass can be cut with this machine and be put into the barn the same day. Again : The grass is left in just the right shape, for the hay loader, which is attached to the hay wagon, will take it up nicely and put it onto the wagon, so saving the time and labor of raking and bunching. In the saving of time, labor, and what is more important still, in quality of hay, we consider that nearly if not quite a dollar a ton is gained by the use of this kind of mower. Beyond all question at the very least a day's time is saved in heavy grass, in curing the hay. This means a good deal, as every farmer knows. Another point : In securing tame hay it is important to cut in a given time the most possible. This being a six-foot machine and running as easily as any four-foot machine we ever tried, we can cut fifty per cent more in the same time than with the side-cut. All these advantages we have named will be appreciated by practical farmers, especially those who cut heavy grass, and we shall all cut this kind soon.

Makers of mowing machines ought to take a hint from these facts and govern themselves accordingly. Farmers are looking for the best things nowadays, and they are going to take the experience of practical men of their own numbers before any palaver of dealers. We are much mistaken if the Eureka Mower Company of Towanda, Penn., have not a bigger bonanza on their hands than they are thinking of.

CHAMPION HAY STACKER COMPANY, }
A. A. NOYES, General Manager. }
STEAMBOAT ROCK, IA., Nov. 23, 1882. }

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

Gents—I hardly think I can say anything new in regard to the *Eureka Mower*, but feel as if it was due you and the public that I give you my experience the past season. I cut 200 acres of heavy timothy and clover, the greater part of which was badly lodged. To say it worked well is a mild expression. For the past five years I have had a great deal of trouble in cutting the same ground on account of lodged grass. I tried four of the Standard Mowers with same result (side-cut). The end of the sickle bar would run under the lodged grass, and hence the cut and standing grass was not divided, which made bad work. I gave up in despair of ever getting my grass cut in any kind of shape at all satisfactory. But after using the Eureka on same meadow with grass at least 25 per cent heavier, I could hardly believe my eyes to see how easy the team handled the 6 foot cut—the fine shape the grass was in for curing and the fine smooth shape the stubble was left in. My eyes are not good enough to see that the 6 foot runs any harder with no side draft than any 4 1/2 foot cut now in use. Had any one told me I would be so enthusiastic over a mower,

I should have said they had picked up the wrong man, but I fail to have command of words to express my satisfaction with the working of the same. I used the 8 foot to cut 100 acres of heavy clover for seed and could see but little difference in draft over the 6 foot, with work equally as good. I have been asked time and again if I did not have trouble in putting big grass and weeds under the driver's seat. I never saw a case yet. I have seen horse weeds 8 feet high cut with no trouble and no apparent increase of draft; in fact, I have never seen the mower worked in any place that you could work any mower, but what it worked all right. When I wrote you last May for terms, prices, etc., I had no idea you would send me a mower on the terms I offered, i. e., if the mower worked as well as you said and to my satisfaction, I would pay for same after a fair trial. You sent mower at once. All I could do after trying to my satisfaction was to pay as per contract. I think I know the wants of Iowa farms as well as most of men, and think I am perfectly safe in saying within 5 years there will be more direct draft mowers used in Iowa than all side-cut machines made. Respectfully yours,

A. A. NOYES.

PRYCE & SCHELL, WHOLESALE AND RETAIL HARDWARE AND FARM }
MACHINERY, }
IOWA CITY, IOWA, Dec. 26th, 1882. }

MESSRS. EUREKA MOWER Co., Towanda, Pa.:

We have known of the merits of the Eureka Mower through the praise of farmers who owned and used them for several years previous to our selling them. One farmer has used a Eureka Mower for six years. Another, four years. Each one of the mowers has cut about 1,000 acres of grass, and was furnished the first repairs last season, which only amounted to a few dollars on each mower. The above fact is one of the many reasons why we bought so many of them last season; the fact of our selling them all out, and their giving such general satisfaction, and having no trouble of any kind with them while in use, is of itself a good recommendation. We expect to more than treble our sale the coming season from the fact of their having given such general satisfaction. For those wishing a mower for *use or sale*, we would recommend the front cut center draft Eureka Mower, to be, in our opinion, the most perfect and complete mower we know of, one that will give unqualified satisfaction.

Yours truly,

PRYCE & SCHELL.

OFFICE OF PIONEER HAY COMPANY, }
DES MOINES, IA., Nov. 27, 1882. }

EUREKA MOWER Co., Towanda, Pa.:

Gentlemen—Yours of 17th inst. requesting our opinion of the "Eureka Mower" was duly received, and in reply, we will say that during the past summer we used 2 Buckeyes, 2 McCormicks and 2 Eureka six foot cut. The Eureka cut fully 50 per cent more grass than either of the other machines, and were the lightest draft of any. The grass was upland prairie, and we cut nearly 2,000 tons of it. We shall want some more six foot Eureka next season.

PIONEER HAY CO.

PENNSYLVANIA.

MIFFLINBURG, PA., Dec. 25, 1882.

The Eureka Mower, bought through your agents, Lindner & Brother, of Pottsgrove, beats representation "all hollow." Cuts more grass with less draft than any-side cut ever seen, and hay cures more rapidly. I would advise any one who proposes to buy a Mower to give the Eureka a trial.

DANIEL KLECKNER.

BETHEL, PA., Jan'y 2, 1883.

I am very much pleased with the Eureka Mower, having used it for two seasons, and claim it to be the best Mower that I have ever used. It will cut one-third more hay than any side-cut that I have ever tried, with the same horse power and less expense.

J. W. WISE.

POTTSGROVE, PA., Dec. 25, 1882.

LINDNER & BROTHER:

Gentlemen—The Eureka Mower bought from you gives the best of satisfaction and is perfect in every respect. I would not exchange it for any side-cut in use.

WM. VORIS.

The Eureka gives entire satisfaction. Would recommend it to any one in need of a Mower as the best in the market.

WM. FOLLMER.

I am more than satisfied with the Eureka—it can't be beat.

ELIAS BIEBER.

JESSUP, PA., Sept. 18th, 1882.

R. L. BEARDSLEE:

Sir—My Mower gives excellent satisfaction.

S. B. HOWELL.

RUSH, PA., Jan'y 7th, 1882.

R. L. BEARDSLEE:

Sir—I am highly pleased with Eureka. It adapts itself to the surface, and does better work than I have ever done with any side-cut Mower.

LEMUEL BUSHNELL.

I could give 50 similar to these. R. L. B.

FOREST LAKE, SUSQ. CO., PA., Oct. 20, 1882.

MR. BEARDSLEE:

Sir—I saved enough in time and hay to pay for one-half of the Mower this season. You did not recommend Eureka high enough. Four men with the Eureka will secure as much hay as five men can with any of the side-cut mowers. The side-cut Mower trade is played out in our section. Several of my neighbors who bought Eureka last season say they would use no other.

GEORGE JOHNSON.

PIKE, PA., Aug. 16th, 1882.

I took the last Eureka that could possibly be furnished last season. I am not surprised that the demand is greater than the supply. The superiority of your Mower over side-cut Mowers is so marked that a trial is a sale.

JENKINS WILLIAMS.

FAIR DALE, SUSQ. CO., PA., Dec. 12th, 1882.

R. L. BEARDSLEE:

Dear Sir—The farmers of our locality are as much in favor of the Eureka Mower as the people of New York were for Cleveland for Governor of their State last Fall. We have a good many Eureka here and want no other. Count on our locality for 6 or 8 next season.

JOHN ROBINSON.

INDEPENDENCE, WASHINGTON CO., PA., August 31, 1882.

I have tried the Mower you sent me—cutting sixty acres of grass. I can cut fifteen acres per day without injury to my team. It does its work *better* than any side-cut I ever saw and runs lighter. Am better pleased than I expected. It does all you claim, so I will pay for it as I agreed.

JNO. P. McADOO.

CONNAUTVILLE, PA., Dec. 26, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

As to your Mower for a dealer to handle, it can't be beat, as I have run one of your five foot Mowers eleven years, cutting about two hundred acres per year, until last year, when the rock shaft gave out, and I will have to get a new one, and then I would not trade it for a new side draft. I bought two new Mowers of you last season; used one myself. It worked well, and my neighbor, Walton, used the other one and was well pleased with it. In mowing low or wet meadows the team nor machine does not pass over the cut grass, but leaves it in a standing position, so that the wind and sun will dry it ready for the barn two or three hours sooner than any other machine that I ever saw. I have mowed eight acres of very heavy grass in one forenoon with one three-year-old colt and one twenty-one-year-old mare.

SIMON C. NICOLLS.

LACEYVILLE, PA., Dec. 28, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower through seven seasons, and consider it ahead of any side-cut machine in its lightness of draft, in leaving heavy grass in better shape to dry, in mowing back and forth on same side (to avoid driving up and down the hill on unlevel land), in the rapidity of its work, and in mowing an orchard, or on rough land, as you can cut as close to a tree or obstacle, on each side, as you can drive a horse, when, with a side-cut, you would have to leave an uncut space on one side as wide as your machine, unless you turn your team around and cut back on that side.

L. S. SMITH.

GREENVILLE, Dec. 27th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

This is to certify that I have introduced the Eureka Mower in this section of country to some extent during the season of 1882, and that I am well satisfied with the result. I consider it the best machine made for dealers to handle, as it needs no recommendation excepting to persuade men that are prejudiced against the center cut to try it. I have the first man to find who can find any fault with the Eureka after they have seen it used. A fair trial will convince any one that the Eureka is the best mowing machine made for all practical purposes. In my local advertising I challenge competition with all other machines on the following points: *First.* By not tramping the grass after it is cut, it leaves it in a better condition to dry than can be done with any other machine. *Second.* It will cut one-half more grass with the same or less draft. *Third.* It will mow closer to a stump or tree. *Fourth.* It will cross deeper ditches or mow rougher ground. *Fifth.* It is easier to manage than any other machine.

G. N. JAXTHEIMER.

SHARON, PA., Jan'y 8th, 1883.

I have used the Eureka Mower for three seasons. I have worn out three side-cut machines of different kinds, and am certain that the Eureka is better than any side-cut machine made. It will do more and better work than any machine I know of.

H. B. KASTOR.

MONTROSE, PA., Jan'y 9, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER CO., Towanda, Pa.:

Of the twenty Eureka Mowers in 1881 and about forty last season sold by me to the farmers in this vicinity, I believe every one has given entire satisfaction. I append a few names, and might give the entire list of those who bought and have used them, with their testimonies in favor of them.

A. Lathrop says: "Too much cannot be said in their favor."

George Decker says: "None other is as good."

Ansel Stearns says: "It is boss."

Norris Tingley says: "It has no equal, especially for mowing on side hills."

H. Howard says: "For lodged clover you have no business with any other."

E. Harper says: "They are cheaper at the price than any other if given to you."

James Newton says: "After trying four others, I would have none but the Eureka."

Nelson Birchard says: "For all kinds both of ground and grass it has no equal."

So say in substance B. McKibby, P. C. Conklin, David Shay, Charles Rogers, Wrighter Shay, Albert Conklin, S. & D. Sherer, Chapman Carr and many others.

Respectfully,

D. BREWSTER.

WARSAW TOWNSHIP, JEFFERSON CO., PA.

I have been using the Eureka Mower one season; cut forty acres with it; ten acres a day is an easy day's work; it is the best machine that I have worked. When I first saw the machine I was afraid it would not cut the grass that the horses tramped upon in going through the grass, but to my surprise it did not leave a stalk, and cut it just as well as if there had not been a foot upon it. In mowing in lands I find it much easier to make round corners with the Eureka than the side-cut machine. I would especially recommend this machine for farms that are hilly, as you can cut a full swath with it on a side hill, which is impossible to do with a side-cut machine, and it will mow over a dead furrow the best of any machine I have tested. Also, in mowing round the field next the fence, this machine far exceeds the side-cut machine, as your work is directly in front of you and your eye can watch the team and in front of the cutter bar at the same time without turning your head.

JOSEPH BULLER.

WARRENHAM, PA., Dec. 28, 1882.

During the last three years I have sold, at retail, 125 Mowers. A majority of them have gone into the hands of "progressive farmers," men who have become convinced that Side-Cut Mowers are not up to the spirit of the age. That too much time is required to do a given amount of work. The demand is rapidly growing for agricultural machinery capable of economizing both time and labor. Farmers who estimate the cost of securing the grass crop find that no side-cut compares with Eureka. I find where Eureka is best known it sells the best. From the most reliable information I can obtain I believe there are more side-cut mower agents on my territory than there were side-cut mowers sold here last year. I observe small farmers, who cut 25 or 30 acres of grass, buy Eureka almost exclusively, arguing that they can cut and cure grass so rapidly as to have the necessary time for hauling each day, thereby saving three or four days in the time of haying. The Eureka has made for itself here a reputation that will grow in luster when the violent prejudice and malignity of a score of unscrupulous side-cut agents and the class of mowers they represent will be forgotten. I shall need fifty mowers to supply the demand here next season.

R. L. BEARDSLEE.

COUDERSPORT, PA., Dec. 25, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

Gentlemen—My five years' experience selling your Mower has fully satisfied me that it is the Mower to sell and buy. I was selling the Buckeye before selling yours—which was then selling better than any other Mower, and gave very good satisfaction. I am now selling more Eureka Mowers here than all others combined. And invariably every one sold sells another; and about the only complaint heard from those buying is, that if they had known that their team could handle the Mower so easily, they would have got a wider cut. It's a satisfaction, after selling a Mower to a farmer a foot wider cut than he is willing to buy, and have him come back and want to trade for one a foot wider still.

W. B. GORDNIER.

ALLEGHENY, PA., Sept. 19, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

Gentlemen—Hurrah for the Eureka. First premium at the Pennsylvania State Fair. Trade for next season looks first rate. Good-bye.

FRANK JOHNSTON & CO.

WILKES-BARRE, PA.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Enclosed please find check to pay for Mower. I am using the Eureka Mower and a number of other machines on our farms. I consider the Eureka one of the best machines in use.

Yours truly,

R. DOWNING, Agent Real Estate.

STERLING, WAYNE CO., PA.

GAIGE & CLEMENTS, MOSCOW, PA.:

Gents—I take pleasure in saying to you that the Eureka Mower which I bought of you last July exceeds my highest expectations. Before buying I had been urged by the agents of the Champion, Wood and Buckeye Mowers (all side-cut machines) to buy their machines, making very liberal inducements. But having seen circulars of the Eureka, and believing the principle of direct draft the true one, I was induced to buy the five-foot machine. I am fully convinced from the use last season that it is of lighter draft, will conform to side hills and uneven surfaces better, is lighter on the horses' necks when cutting, will cut twenty-five per cent more grass with less labor to the team than any side-cut machine I have ever seen. What I thought might be an objection was one horse walking in standing grass. I find it much better than having one horse and one wheel pressing the green mown grass down compactly, while the Eureka leaves the grass up in loose condition, drying much sooner than with any other machine. Believing you are conferring a favor on us farmers in introducing this machine in this section, I am

Truly yours,

ALLEN BORTREE.

TOBYHANNA MILLS, MONROE CO., PA.

GAIGE & CLEMENTS, MOSCOW, PA.:

Gentlemen—I take pleasure in saying to you that the five-feet mower I bought of you last July more than filled my expectations. The lightness of draft and manner it does its work far exceeds any side-draft mower I have ever seen. It rides steadily and handles easily, works first-rate over stony ground, and is well adapted to side hills and uneven surfaces. I used a mule team on it which had never been on a machine before, weighing about 1,600 pounds, and they would handle it all day with ease.

I remain, truly yours,

I. T. CASE,

Treasurer Tobyhanna & Lehigh Lumber Company.

OFFICE PENNSYLVANIA COAL COMPANY, G. B. SMITH, GEN. SUPT, DUNMORE, PA.:

Dear Sir—I am in receipt of yours asking information in reference to the working of the Eureka Mower. We have had two of them at work this season, and think them the best working machines we have ever had.

Very truly yours,

JOHN B. SMITH.

WYSOX, PA.

The Eureka Mower is the very best we ever saw, and there is no side-draft mower that will compare with it in any respect. We can operate a six-feet cutter bar Eureka machine with more ease to a pair of horses than any four and a half cutter-bar of any side-cut mower we ever used. The advantage of your mower in cutting back and forth on one side of a grass field enables us to mow heavy grass that is lodged, cutting it clean, and the grass is left to cure without being trampled by the team or run over by the machine. The mower we have had in use for three years is as good in all its parts as when first used, and that, too, after cutting over 100 acres each year. We commend the Eureka Mower, unhesitatingly, to farmers who desire the best mowing machine in use.

V. E. & J. E. PIOLLET.

NEW YORK.

CHILDS & JONES, GENERAL AGENTS FOR CENTRAL AND NORTHERN NEW YORK,
Utica, N. Y.

The Eureka Mower which I have used for the past season, gives me *entire satisfaction*. I can better afford to pay the price for a Eureka Mower than take as a gift (and agree to use it), any side-cut machine I ever saw. I can cut *one-third more grass* with a six foot Eureka than with any four foot side-cut mower in use, in the same length of time, and no more work for the horses. The grass will make and cure one-third quicker. I can frankly recommend the Eureka to those who have never used it, as *worthy of their attention and trial*, and I believe it to be *the coming machine*.

It is the best Mower I have ever used.

HON. HARRIS LEWIS, Ex-Pres. N. Y.
S. Agricultural Society, Frankfort,
N. Y.

DR. L. L. WIGHT, Pres. Utica Dairy-
men's Board of Trade, Whitesboro,
Oneida Co., N. Y.

PETER DAVIES, Utica, N. Y.

W. W. WHEELER, Trenton, Oneida
Co., N. Y.

F. D. PENNY, Bridgewater, Oneida Co.,
N. Y.

W. J. THOMAS, Hecla Works, Oneida
Co., N. Y.

A. R. BENEDICT, Waterville, Oneida
Co., N. Y.

J. H. TOMPKINS, Bridgewater, Oneida
Co., N. Y.

GEORGE W. WILLIS, Newport, Herki-
mer Co., N. Y.

N. TIMMERMAN, Little Falls, Herki-
mer Co., N. Y.

J. S. IVES, Norwich, Herkimer Co., N. Y.

WM. E. JONES.

J. S. DAVIES, West Schuyler, Herkimer
Co., N. Y.

WM. A. FEETER, Little Falls, Herki-
mer Co., N. Y.

F. H. ENOS, Fairfield, Herkimer Co.,
N. Y.

WILLIAM IRWIN, Newport, Herkimer
Co., N. Y.

WILLIAM POWELL, Fairfield, Herki-
mer Co., N. Y.

B. M. RIDER, Cedar Lake, Herkimer
Co., N. Y.

N. M. CURTIS, Ogdensburgh, St. Law-
rence Co., N. Y.

V. H. HARRIS, Newport, Herkimer Co.,
N. Y.

F. A. ENOS, Middleville, Herkimer Co.,
N. Y.

EDGAR KNAFF, Stanfordville, Dutch-
ess Co., N. Y.

F. J. LAMB, Bainbridge, Chenango Co.,
N. Y.

ELIAS B. TALMADGE, Baldwinsville,
Onondaga Co., N. Y.

ROYAL TALLMAN, Ogdensburgh, St.
Lawrence Co., N. Y.

L. C. JOSLYN, Coventry, Chenango Co.,
N. Y.

E. A. MITCHELL, Schuyler's Lake, Ot-
sego Co., N. Y.

T. B. VANDERVEER, Amsterdam, Mont-
gomery Co., N. Y.

E. L. THORNTON, Auburn, Cayuga Co.,
N. Y.

WILLIAM DUNLAP, Corning, Steuben
Co., N. Y.

GEORGE W. BAKER, Earlville, Madi-
son Co., N. Y.

SILAS R. HILL, South Otselic, Chenan-
go Co., N. Y.

M. D. REESE, Sherburne, Chenango
Co., N. Y.

J. S. DICKSON, Antwerp, Jefferson Co.,
N. Y.

M. L. DOWNS, Schuyler's Lake, Otsego
Co., N. Y.

JAMES C. DRAKE, Malone, Franklin
Co., N. Y.

FRANKLIN M. BERRY, Malone, Frank-
lin Co., N. Y.

MILFORD BERRY, Malone, Franklin
Co., N. Y.

HARMON SPENCER, Malone, Franklin
Co., N. Y.

SAMUEL WILLIAMSON, Malone,
Franklin Co., N. Y.

JOHN C. WILLIAMSON, Malone, Frank-
lin Co., N. Y.

ENOS HINMAN, Malone, Franklin Co.,
N. Y.

THOMPSON GRAVES, Malone, Frank-
lin Co., N. Y.

L. B. SPERRY, Bangor, Franklin Co.,
N. Y.

H. P. SPERRY, Bangor, Franklin Co.,
N. Y.

JOHN F. LENDRUM, Central Bridge,
Schoharie Co., N. Y.

J. G. VANDERZEE, Cedar Hill, Albany
Co., N. Y.

J. G. LENDRUM, Knox, Albany Co., N. Y.

- | | |
|--|--|
| WM. D. STREVELL, Knowersville,
Albany Co., N. Y. | HOMER DUNHAM, Plank Road, Onon-
daga Co., N. Y. |
| E. GALLUP, East Berne, Albany Co.,
N. Y. | WALTER M. DUNHAM, Plank Road,
Onondaga Co., N. Y. |
| HIRAM BAKER, West Schuyler, Herki-
mer Co., N. Y. | HORACE DUNHAM, Plank Road, Onon-
daga Co., N. Y. |
| H. E. BAKER, Oriskany, Oneida Co.,
N. Y. | W. A. COLLAMER, Ballston, Saratoga
Co., N. Y. |
| MORGAN BUTLER, New Hartford,
Oneida Co., N. Y. | J. BROWNELL, Ballston, Saratoga Co.,
N. Y. |
| J. B. TUCKERMAN, Cassville, Oneida
Co., N. Y. | NATHANIEL MANN, Ballston, Saratoga
Co., N. Y. |
| S. W. BAKER, Oriskany, Oneida Co.,
N. Y. | W. H. COON, East Line, Saratoga Co.,
N. Y. |
| JAMES MITCHELL, Remsen, Oneida
Co., N. Y. | E. W. ALLEN, East Line, Saratoga Co.,
N. Y. |
| WASHINGTON GARLOCK, Utica,
Oneida Co., N. Y. | C. D. SICKLES, Malta, Saratoga Co.,
N. Y. |
| L. S. WILCOX, Rome, Oneida Co., N. Y. | PHILIP DORLAND, Dean's Corners, Sa-
ratoga Co., N. Y. |
| DANIEL SHATTUCK, Bowen's Corners,
Oswego Co., N. Y. | WILLIAM ALLEN, Mechanicsville Sa-
ratoga Co., N. Y. |
| S. GARDNER, South Hannibal, Oswego
Co., N. Y. | |

LAFARGEVILLE, N. Y., Aug. 4th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

The four Mowers that you sent us have all been tried, and give perfect satisfac-
tion. They are sold, and we could have sold three or four more if we had had them.

B. J. & L. S. STROUGH.

VENICE, CAYUGA CO., N. Y., Dec. 25th, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower for the past three seasons, and I consider it a very
desirable machine and superior to any side-cut machine for cutting grass, for the fol-
lowing reasons: First, it is simple in construction and ease of draft. Second, the six
foot machine will cut one-third more grass with the same team than any side-cut.
Third, the grass cut with the Eureka will cure quicker and make better hay than
when cut with a side-cut machine, because the grass is left up loose on the ground
and the horses and machine do not run on and break and bruise the mown grass as
the side-cuts do. Take the Eureka all in all, I consider it the boss machine.

J. L. MANCHESTER.

We, the undersigned, do not hesitate in saying that the Eureka Mowing Machine
stands pre-eminently ahead of all other Mowers, because of its great capacity for
rapid work, and in its leaving the cut grass in a standing position, untrampled by
either horses or machine, to cure at least one-third sooner than by any side-cut Mower
made. By the use of the Eureka, at least twenty-five per cent is saved in securing
our hay crop over the *little side-cut Mower*. We would cheerfully recommend the Eu-
reka to all wanting a first class Mowing Machine.

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| D. C. BURROUGHS, East Varick, Sene-
ca Co., N. Y. | WM. G. WAYNE, Seneca Falls, N. Y. |
| S. E. MUNSON, Seneca Falls, N. Y. | S. S. WOODWORTH, Waterloo, N. Y. |
| W. W. MUNSON, Tyre, Seneca Co., N. Y. | J. L. GILES, Seneca Falls, N. Y. |
| T. T. MUNSON, Tyre, Seneca Co., N. Y. | BENJ. WEED, Clyde, Wayne Co., N. Y. |

CORFU, N. Y., Jan. 8th, 1883.

I bought one of the Eureka Mowers in July last, and am free to say it is the best
machine I ever used. It is a seven foot cut, and draws as easy as a four foot of
any side-cut; also cuts smooth and even; leaves the grass in best position to dry.
Machine is durable and easy to handle. I cut over 140 acres, and was to no ex-
pense for repairs.

B. N. HOPKINS.

PRATTSBURGH, N. Y., Oct. 20, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., Towanda, Pa. :

After using your machine for three harvests, I do not hesitate to pronounce it the *best* mower of which I have any knowledge. It will do a third *more* work, with *less* labor by man or team, than either of the two machines which I have worn out before purchasing it, and the grass when cut will cure in one-half the time required when run over by a side bar machine. With the Eureka I can do passably good work on any ground that is susceptible of being traversed by an ox cart; in short, I firmly believe that the *direct draft* principle upon which it is constructed is one to which all mowers must very soon come or leave the field.

J. A. WALDO.

PRATTSBURGH, N. Y., Dec. 14, 1882.

MR. O. N. CHAPPELL, Agent for Eureka Mower:

Sir—I take pleasure in saying that I have used the Eureka Mower eight years, and I *know* that it is the only Mower that a farmer can afford to use. It cuts one-half more grass than the ordinary side draft machines, and with greater ease to the team and driver.

Grass cut with it cures in one-half the time that it would cut with the side draft machines, and the hay, being cured quick and without turning, is much better. It will mow on any ground that any side draft machine can, and will cut on side hills and in soft bottoms where other machines cannot run. It has the advantage of cutting in any direction of the field desired, and the cut grass is all on one side of the field; and I have not seen the place where I do not like the Eureka better than any side draft machine.

GEO. R. DEAN.

EASTON STOCK AND DAIRY RANCH, June 19th, 1882.

P. O., Mitchellville, Steuben Co., N. Y.

MR. O. N. CHAPPELL:

Sir—Yours of the 14th received. You request my opinion of the six-foot Eureka Mower I bought of you. After a trial of four years can say that I am perfectly satisfied with it, and would not take the best side-cut machine I ever saw and agree to use it two years, for the reason I could not afford it, as I can cut enough more with the Eureka and with less team power to make the difference in cost every two years. Don't think I have cut less than 1,000 acres with it, and much of my land is rough, stony, stumpy and knobby, and the machine works just as well now as it did the first year I used it. I cut all my grain with it that I don't bind (barley and oats), from 60 to 100 acres per year, and it leaves as clean a stubble as a neat farmer could desire.

H. S. EASTON.

BROCKPORT, N. Y., Dec. 23, 1882.

I used the Eureka Mower last season, and in my opinion it is the finest Mower that I know of, for the following reasons: Lightness of draft. Simplicity of construction. Ease of handling and the amount of labor it will perform over all other machines. I would not give the Eureka for any three side-cut mowers in the market for my own use.

G. A. DOTY.

ROMULUS, SENECA CO., N. Y., July 28th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., Towanda, Pa. :

Yours of the 26th is duly received and contents noted. In reply, can say the Mower has given entire satisfaction; it is one which is worthy of all the praise given it. When ordering ours, having never seen one work, ordered a five-foot machine, thinking a wider one might draw too heavy or not work so well. To those who are ordering machines, we would say, don't order anything less than six-foot cut.

C. & WM. A. WARNE.

BREWSTERS, N. Y., Dec. 27, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Commenced with *Allen's* in 1849. Have used Buckeye, Champion, Victor and others, but the Eureka beats them all. It also leaves the grass in fine shape to cure.

WM. H. FOWLER.

BATH, N. Y., Nov. 18, 1882.

V. BOUTON, Bath, N. Y.:

The six-foot Eureka Mower purchased of you for my farm this season gives entire satisfaction. The grass is left in better condition to cure than is possible with any side-cut Mower. I say to the farmers, try a Eureka, and you will be convinced that it is the best Mower in the market.

GEO. W. HALLOCK.

KANONA, N. Y., Nov. 1, 1882.

V. BOUTON, Bath, N. Y.:

I am well pleased with the six-foot Eureka purchased of you this season. I have used some of the best side-cut machines, but could not be induced to buy or use another so long as I can get a Eureka.

JOHN BUCHER.

CENTREVILLE, N. Y., Dec. 27, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

My testimonial for the Eureka Machine is that if I could not get another machine like mine I should not take double what it cost me. If I had room, and thought it necessary, I could give ten or fifteen different advantages that it has over a side-cut machine. One great advantage, I think, is going back and forth on one side of the lot keeps the bouts the same length; another is taking advantage of wind; another is going close to (or over) any obstacle on both sides, etc.

WALTER M. DUNHAM.

NORWICH, N. Y., Dec. 27, 1882.

We consider the Eureka the best machine to handle, because no side-cut machine can equal it in any point. All we have to do to sell the machine is to show the farmer that we can cut two acres to his one with less draft, and can cure it in two-thirds the time it takes after his side-cut. We would take no other machine as a gift to sell or use on our farm.

C. H. & S. B. GRANT.

WHITESTOWN, N. Y., Jan. 1, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

I have cut large quantities of grass with the Eureka six-foot Mower the past season, and I find that for directness and lightness of draft—for the area of land cut in a given time—for the perfect work it performs, and, above all, for the excellent condition in which it leaves the grass to cure, it far surpasses any Mower I am familiar with; and I have used a large quantity of machines during the last thirty years, beginning with Ketcham's old wooden machine and ending with the Buckeye. This machine of yours seems to embody all that can be desired.

L. L. WIGHT.

POPLAR RIDGE, CAYUGA CO., N. Y., Dec. 28, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

The summer of 1881 I purchased from your agent, John L. Manchester, one of your six-foot Mowers, and have since cut more than 100 acres of grass in all conditions, light and heavy, wet and dry, and under all these conditions it has done its work well. Its chief merit with me, over other kinds of mowing machines, is the rapidity with which it does its work, and the splendid condition it leaves the cut grass for curing.

JOHN L. SEARING.

JAMESTOWN, N. Y., Jan. 1, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., Towanda, Pa.:

Messrs.—I have used the Eureka Mower two years, and have sold it for four years, and know, from my experience with other machines, that the Eureka is the most profitable machine made, and persons having large farms cannot afford to use any other machine. The objection of the horses walking in the grass is only imaginary. There is a good number of them in use about here, and they give entire satisfaction.

C. W. VAN VLECK.

JAMESTOWN, N. Y., June 2, 1880.

EUREKA MOWER CO., Towanda, Pa.:

Gents—We, the undersigned, having the past season used your Eureka Mower (for which C. Van Vleck is agent), do say that we believe it to be the best Mower in use; its large capacity, ease of draft and manner of leaving the cut grass, is evidence of its superiority over side-cut machines, and we would advise all farmers to give the Eureka a thorough trial before purchasing any side-cut machine.

E. H. JENNER,	A. E. HOLLENBECK,
O. J. STODDARD,	J. H. TIFFANY,
G. A. BENTLEY,	A. A. VAN VLECK,
W. D. HARRIS,	AMOS PALMER,
ALMON W. HARRIS,	E. E. GALLUP,
JOEL E. SCUDDER,	

SALT POINT, DUTCHESS CO., N. Y., Jan. 8, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER CO., Towanda, Pa.:

The new model Eureka Mower is almost universally admitted to be *the coming machine*. Parties in this locality would not sell their Eureka Mowers for \$200 if no more were to be had.

There are several Eureka Mowers here that have been in use for the past seven or eight years without *one cent's* worth of repairs.

G. JAY TOMPKINS.

CORFU, N. Y., Jan. 4, 1882.

I believe that the Eureka Mower has more good qualities than any other mower. We have used one for two seasons, and it has given good satisfaction. We are not agents for this machine or any other, but give this for the benefit of the farming public.

E. W. & A. K. CARRIER.

BRIDGEWATER, N. Y., Jan. 5, 1882.

F. D. PENNY, Bridgewater, N. Y.:

When asked by you to express on paper my opinion of the Eureka Mower, I was reminded of the first impression it produced on my mind, as a great awkward machine. Having consented to give it a trial with other machines, I was compelled to admire, and finally buy, what I have since been only too glad to recommend to my fellow farmers. Among its strong points are the following: easiness of draft; a team will draw a six-foot Eureka easier than any side-draft machine, and without galling a team; it leaves each swath by itself, so it can be put into cocks or on the load with ease; it leaves the hay in a standing position, so that it will dry much faster than after a side-draft machine, which with me is a decided advantage.

LORIN R. SCOTT.

BRIDGEWATER, N. Y., Jan. 13th, 1883.

Have used the Eureka Mower for 10 or 15 years, and think it the best machine in the market. Have tried a number of others, but recommend the Eureka.

W. H. BRIGGS.

SPRINGVILLE, N. Y., Jan. 3d, 1883.

I have used and sold most all kinds of mowing machines, but, all things taken into consideration, I think I never had a mower before I bought the Eureka. In my opinion you cannot say anything too good about the Eureka.

GEO. W. SPAULDING.

CATLIN, N. Y., Aug., 1882.

I have used a W. A. Wood and have a new one now, four and half foot cut. Have laid it aside and got a Eureka, six foot cut; mow from eighty to one hundred acres each year; can cut more grass with the Eureka, do it better, and it will cure one-third quicker cut with the Eureka.

SANTFORD SMITH.

CORNING, N. Y., Sept., 1882.

I have used the Wood and Buckeye, and have used the Eureka the last four years. Lost one of my guards this year just before I finished mowing. Hitched on a new Wood and it worried my horses more than all the other mowing I did on the farm.

WM. M. GOULD.

BIG FLATS, N. Y., Aug., 1882.

I have not found the place where I do not like the Eureka better than any side-cut machine I ever saw.

JAY VOORHES.

FLEMINGVILLE, TIOGA CO., N. Y., Dec., 1882.

I have had five kinds of Mowing Machines on my farms. The Eureka Machine is the best one of them all.

SIMEON L. BARRETT.

WEST NEWARK, TIOGA CO., N. Y., Jan. 3d, 1883.

H. A. COURTRIGHT:

After using on all kinds of mowing the Eureka Mower purchased of you two seasons ago, we are convinced that it is a superior Mower, the best we think in market. We would recommend it to all farmers who wish to buy, for we think they will be satisfied with it in every respect. It is easy of draught, and no side draught whatever, and so simple in construction that almost any boy can operate it with perfect success.

CARPENTER BROS.

ROME, N. Y., Jan. 5th, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co., Towanda, Pa.:

In reply to your request regarding the Eureka Mower, will say it surpassed my expectations in every particular. In lightness of draft it is far ahead of any side-cut machine in the market. Grass that is cut with the Eureka needs no Tedder, and will cure hours sooner; therefore will make a better quality of hay than can possibly be done with a side-cut machine. The grass is left in such shape that it is all cured alike. The past season is the first year since Hay Tedders came in use here. I have not used one. I had no use for it only when I mowed with my Buckeye Mower for a little experiment. I found that grass cut with the Eureka would cure better without teddering than grass cut with a side-cut machine with teddering. My grass is always heavy; will average one year with another three tons per acre; therefore it requires considerable time and labor in curing. I could not have believed the amount of labor saved, both team and hand labor, had not experience taught me.

LEROY S. WILCOX.

CALICOON DEPOT, N. Y., Jan. 5th, 1883.

H. J. GOUBLEMAN:

I bought a Eureka Mower of you four years ago; have used it every year with no repairs except sections. I have a very stony farm, and the Mower is in good order yet. Would not exchange it for any Mower that I know of.

HENRY ELLER.

FREMONT, N. Y., Jan. 8th, 1883.

MR. HENRY GOUBELMAN, Callicoon Depot, N. Y.:

I have used the Eureka Mower bought of you, and find it just the mower I want. My farm is very rough, and I can recommend it to farmers wanting to purchase a machine in preference to any side-cut I know of.

DENNIS GOLDEN.

SODUS, WAYNE Co., N. Y., Jan. 6th, 1883.

After having worn out three side-cut mowing machines, and having used the Eureka for the past four years, it now seems strange to me that the Eureka is not the *only* mower in the market. It has *every* merit claimed for it by the manufacturers.

W. H. DODD.

GEO. W. HITCHCOCK, Ripley, N. Y., Agent Eureka Mower Co.:

Dear Sir—We, the undersigned, after having purchased and used the six feet cut Eureka Mower for one season, wish to say that we have used for years past nearly all the different side-cut mowers, and find to our surprise and pleasure and profit that we can save at least 25 per cent in the cost of securing our hay crop by using the Eureka, as it cuts one-half more in the same time, leaves the cut grass untrodden and nearly in a standing position, so that it cures at least one-third quicker than when cut by a side-cut mower and trampled upon by one wheel or horse, and handles as easy for man and team as any of the little side-cut machines. In fact we would not want to use a side-cut machine after using the Eureka.

AUGUSTUS LOMBARD, Westfield, N. Y.,

Mowed over 100 acres;

DAVID S. COCHRANE,

Mowed over 125 acres.

BETHEL, N. Y., Jan. 4th, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

I have not found the place where I do not like the six-foot Eureka better than any side-cut machine I ever saw. We have used it three years without any expense.

J. J. COLWELL.

BETHEL, N. Y., Jan. 8th, 1883.

I used a five foot cut the past season, and am well pleased with it; used it on very rough ground, and it came out all right every time; would use no other. I think is rightly named.

Resp'y,

WM. SMITH.

P. S.—A number of farmers who saw mine work, told me the machine filled the bill with them, and another year would see more of them in use here. I used a pair of oxen on mine and they worked complete.

Yours truly,

W. S.

BETHEL, N. Y., Jan'y 5th, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

You ask for my opinion of the Eureka Mower as a desirable machine for a dealer to handle. It is an uphill business the first year, but after you get a few machines in the place and the farmers see them used, they all give in that the Eureka is the machine.

Yours truly,

J. H. COLWELL.

RIPLEY, N. Y., Jan. 1st, 1883.

The Eureka Mower which I have used for the past season gives entire satisfaction. I can better afford to pay the price for a Eureka Mower than take as a gift (and agree to use it), any side-cut machine I ever saw. I can cut one-third more grass with a six foot Eureka than with any four foot side-cut mower in use in the same length of time, and no more work for the horses. The grass will make and cure one-third quicker. I can frankly recommend the Eureka to those who have never used it as worthy of their attention and trial, and I believe it to be the coming machine.

E. R. HAYNES.

LEON, N. Y., Jan. 5th, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

I have used four different mowing machines, and consider the Eureka to be the best machine I ever saw or used. The grass is left nearly as it was, *standing*; and we have no use for a hay tedder even in very heavy grass; can turn and go in any direction with ease. It is easy upon the team, no need of hard driving to prevent clogging, and I feel always sure of mowing down all the grass we need to without fear of breaking down or urging the team.

J. C. BEACHAM.

F. D. PENNY, AGENT, BRIDGEWATER, N. Y.:

We, the undersigned, Farmers in Herkimer, Otsego and Oneida Counties, take pleasure in saying for the benefit of Farmers in these and adjacent Counties, that we have purchased and used the *Eureka Mower* on our Farms, and do not hesitate to pronounce it the *best Mower now in use*! We further certify, that the *Eureka Mower* is the safest for the driver and the easiest for a team that we have ever used. And that no side-cut Mower can compare with it in mowing side hills, or on stony and uneven ground. We have used it in the most difficult places, and like it best for the following reasons:

First.—We can mow a six foot swath with less labor for the team than is required to cut a four foot swath with the best side-cut Mower now in use.

Second.—It leaves the grass in the best possible position for curing quickly, viz.: Light, loose and nearly standing, and *dispenses with the use of a tedder*.

Third.—The machine being reversible, it is adapted to cutting on side hills and lodged grass.

Fourth.—We find by actual use that we can cut one-third more grass in a given time than the best side draft machines.

And we cheerfully and heartily recommend it to all hay producers.

WALTER PALMER, Winfield, Herkimer Co., N. Y.

GILBERT PIERCE, Bridgewater, Oneida Co., N. Y.

ALVA PENNY, Esq., Unadilla Forks, Otsego Co., N. Y.

DENNIS SHIELDS, Bridgewater, Oneida Co., N. Y.

MICHAEL WALSH, Bridgewater, Oneida Co., N. Y.

H. L. GATES, Unadilla Forks, Otsego Co., N. Y.

JAMES TOMPKINS, Bridgewater, Oneida Co., N. Y.

ROBERT J. WILLIAMS, Bridgewater, Oneida County.

JAMES O'CONNOR, Bridgewater, Oneida Co., N. Y.

E. B. BROWN, Bridgewater.

G. H. PARKHURST, Bridgewater, Oneida Co., N. Y.

S. J. RHODES, Paris, Oneida County.

H. H. BRIGGS, Bridgewater.

JEDDO, ORLEANS Co., N. Y., January 8, 1883.

GEO. B. TAYLOR, JR., Agent, Johnson's Creek, N. Y.:

In response to your inquiries about the Eureka Mowing Machine, I would cheerfully say I now have the second machine (having worn out one); that I can cut and cure more grass with the Eureka Mower with less labor and expense than any machine I have ever used or seen, and as long as I can get the Eureka at present

prices would not think of buying or using any other machine in use in this country, or that I have ever seen. The quantity the Eureka will cut, and the shape that it leaves the grass, enables me to harvest almost double the hay that I can secure with any other Mower.

ALMER BROWN.

I have used the Eureka for four years, and fully concur in the above statement.
WARREN ENSIGN.

BATH, N. Y., Nov. 2, 1882.

V. BOUTON, AGENT FOR EUREKA MOWER, BATH, N. Y.:

After using the six-foot Eureka Mower one season I find that, considering the difference in the amount of grass cut in a given length of time, and the superior manner in which it is left to cure, as compared with the best side-cut mowers, that the Eureka is worth at least *five dollars per day* more than any side-cut. The objection generally raised that one horse has to walk in standing grass is no objection whatever. The wheels being large and far apart, it runs easier and steadier; especially on side hill it will not slew or work down the hill like a side-cut machine. The farmer who wants the best mower should try the Eureka. If he tries one he will certainly buy one.

E. P. HIGGINS,
Sheriff Steuben Co., N. Y.

CANASERAGA, ALLEGANY CO., N. Y.

Dear Sir—In regard to the Eureka Mower (six-foot). We find that it does *one-half more* work, and does it with the same team, and as *easily* and as *well* as any machine we have ever used. One Eureka keeps the men busy caring for the hay, while with other machines we had to use two.

Very truly yours,

WM. M. WHITE.

[From Hon. Harris Lewis.]

FRANKFORT, N. Y.

MR. MARKHAM:

My Dear Sir—You ask my opinion of the six-foot Eureka Mower, and in reply would say that it is a great saving of time and force over the ordinary mower, and as soon as the *unfounded prejudice* of many, against driving one horse in the grass, shall give way to common sense (*as it must*), the Eureka will be regarded as "*the Mower*." It is in fact the *only* mower constructed upon correct principles, being a *direct-draft* machine, and leaving the cut grass untrodden down, so that it dries rapidly and even.

PORT LYDON, N. Y.

I have used the "Wood," "Buckeye," and "Acme" machines for the last fifteen years. After many urgent solicitations by D. C. Markham, agent, I consented to try the Eureka. I must say I was very much pleased. *It beats them all*. I advise farmers before purchasing a mower, to give the six-foot Eureka Mower a fair trial.

FRIEND HOYT.

STERLINGVILLE, N. Y.

I have used the Eureka Mower eight years with perfect satisfaction, cutting 100 acres or more of grass, and forty or fifty acres of grain each year. The light draft, ease of management, cutting on one side of the field, time saved in curing, ease of raking and superior quality of hay, are sufficient reasons why I could not afford to use a side-cut machine if it was given to me if I could buy the Eureka for one hundred dollars.

EDWARD CONVERSE.

CORNING, N. Y.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

This year's haying with the Eureka (six-feet) has proved a grand success. Your recent improvements and wide *six-feet cut* is doing wonders. *It takes less than half the time* now to do our haying, than it did with the narrow-cut side-draft mower. It took us just two weeks and four days to cut over *six hundred acres* of hay, and put it in store, with a full average crop. This is my eighth year with the Eureka. Two months was thought quick time ten years ago to do my haying. Where are you going to stop?

WILLIAM DUNLAP.

Mr. Dunlap uses two six-foot size Eureka.

OHIO.

LE ROY, MEDINA Co., OHIO, July 17th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

I have used one of your Mowers this year, and think it the nicest machine I ever used. It not only cuts faster with less draft, than a side-cut machine, but leaves the grass in such shape that it will cure in one-fourth the time that it takes to cure, were it cut by a side-cut. I have always used a side-cut; but after using one of yours (the Eureka), have made up my mind to dispense with it and have something better.

GEO. A. LATIMER.

FRUIT HILL FARM, NEAR FALLSBURG, LICKING Co., OHIO.

I take pleasure in saying that the Eureka Mower, which I bought last season, exceeds my expectations. Before buying, I thought the principle of direct draft was the true one; consequently, when you explained the workings of the Eureka, I was induced to buy one. I am fully convinced, from the use last season, that it is of lighter draft, will conform to side hills, and is lighter on the horses' necks when cutting; will cut twenty-five per cent more grass with less labor to the team than any side-cut machine on this green earth. I hear much said by those who know nothing about the Eureka, concerning one horse walking in the standing grass, but I find it much better to keep the horses and machine off the grass after it is cut, pressing it down so compactly that it cannot dry, while the Eureka leaves the grass up in loose condition, drying much sooner than with any other machine. Believing the Eureka to be a boon to the farmer, I remain,

Yours truly,

GEORGE MCQUEEN.

UTICA, LICKING Co., O., January 3, 1883.

C. E. WOODWORTH, NEW WAY, O.:

The Eureka Mower I purchased of you last harvest completely filled its recommendations. The one important improvement over all side-cut machines of leaving the grass in proper shape for drying is of no small consideration.

JESSE WILSON.

NEW WAY, O., January 8, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co., TOWANDA, PA.:

In answer to your inquiry what I think of the Eureka as a machine for agents to handle, I would write stating that I have sold them three seasons, and they have proved themselves eminently successful and have given the most complete satisfaction to the purchaser. In draft lighter than any other mower, and in adjustability and convenience unequaled, I would challenge any other machine to show so little breakage or so little detention from any cause in the same number of machines used. It has made for itself many friends, and in some sections of the country none but the Eureka seems to meet the requirements of the farmers.

C. E. WOODWORTH, Agent.

UTICA, O., January 4, 1883.

FRIEND WOODWORTH, AGENT OF EUREKA MOWER:

You ask me how I liked the Eureka Mower. I will say I have used three mowing machines and have seen many others work, and can say from experience that I have never seen the Eureka excel for lightness of draft, ease of handling, and, as far as I can see, durability. I will not say as some others do, I want no better, for when a better comes out I want it.

BURGESS HELPHREY.

JOHNSTOWN, OHIO, January 5, 1883.

MR. WOODWORTH:

In answer to your inquiries how I like the Eureka Mower, I would say when you first spoke to me about buying one I had but little faith in it; but, when I saw it, I was satisfied there was a principle in it far superior to anything before introduced in Mowing Machines, but was not certain that that principle was properly applied;

but when I put it to the test I found it equal to any emergency, and I assure you I was not slow to test every part and against my prejudice in favor of the old side draft mowers. I am satisfied it possesses as much power as any mower I ever saw, and the power is so positive in its application to the knives that there is not one particle of lost motion, etc.

JOHN WALTERS.

TOLEDO, OHIO, January 4, 1883.

MESSRS. TRACY & SON:

I have used the Eureka Mower two years, and find it *the best*. It entirely does away with teddering or stirring hay. It has cost me nothing for repairs so far.

J. P. COLLINS.

OFFICE OF DR. W. W. JONES, 35 JEFFERSON STREET,
TOLEDO, O., January 5, 1883.

MESSRS. TRACY & SON:

I purchased a six-foot Eureka Mower last summer for use on my farm. My Superintendent reports its working as excellent, and its advantages greater than any other mower they have ever tried or seen. From personal knowledge, I do not hesitate to recommend it as the most profitable for any farmer to use, of any that have, so far, been constructed by inventors.

W. W. JONES.

FT. SENECA, O., Sept. 16, 1882.

MR. I. H. TOMPKINS, TIFFIN, OHIO:

Dear Sir—The Eureka Mower I bought of you gives good satisfaction. For lightness of draft, rapidity, and quality of work done, the Eureka has no equal.

Yours, &c.,

A. L. ABBOTT.

TIFFIN, O., April 16, 1882.

Have used a Buckeye Mower until last year, when I bought a Eureka, and after trying it thoroughly, I can say it is the *King of Mowers*. My six-foot Eureka runs much lighter than my four-foot Buckeye ever did, and leaves the cut grass in much better condition for curing. I advise farmers to buy the Eureka.

PETER KLAIP.

TIFFIN, O., Sept. 19, 1882.

The six-foot Eureka Mower I bought this season is all you claim it to be. For light draft, ease of handling and quick work, it has no equal; and grass cut with it will cure in quarter less time than when cut with side-cut mowers. To my brother farmers I will say, if you want the best mower get the Eureka and you will have it.

JOHN KRUPP.

TIFFIN, O., Sept. 18, 1882.

After using several side-cut mowers and *trying* an Iron King Mower, I bought a six-foot Eureka Mower, and find it far superior to any other mower I ever tried or saw tried. To farmers wanting a mower, I say try the Eureka and you will buy no other.

LEROY MICHAELS.

BLOOMDALE, O., Sept. 16, 1882.

I consider the Eureka Mower the best mower for lightness in draft, speed in mowing, and the condition in which it leaves the cut grass for curing.

S. DAILY.

FT. SENECA, O., Jan. 10, 1882.

In 1881 I bought and used a six-foot Eureka Mower, and after a thorough trial know it is far superior to any side-cut mower made. Yours truly,

O. J. FRY.

CLYDE, O., Oct. 21, 1882.

I. H. TOMPKINS:

Dear Sir—The six-foot Eureka Mower you sold me this season I like better than any side-cut I ever saw. I cut six acres of grass, making ten tons hay, from 8 to 11 A.M.—two acres per hour—with greatest of ease for my team. Draft is much less than that of any side-cut mower I know of. Grass cut with the Eureka will cure in one-half of time required to cure that cut with side-cut mowers. The Eureka is very strong, well made, and durable.

JESSE N. SELLERS.

BOWLING GREEN, O., Sept. 21, 1882.

TRACY & SON, TOLEDO, O.:

I like the Eureka Mower well—much better than I anticipated before I used it—and the longer I used it the better I liked it. My seven-footer, judging from the way my horses pulled, runs lighter than my four-and-a-half-foot Champion, and I can use it where I cannot use my Champion, and the handling is much easier, as well as the riding. One horse walking in the grass is no objection. It is, I think, the Mower, and will take the lead.

H. A. CRAW.

TROY TP., WOOD CO., O., Oct. 22, 1882.

TRACY & SON, TOLEDO, O.:

The Eureka Mower cuts rapidly, distributes evenly, without dragging the grass into bunches, leaving it in good condition to dry. I think a six-foot Eureka runs as light as a common sized side-cut. I have cut forty acres, clover, and a neighbor cut fourteen acres, heavy timothy. Altogether my machine has cut 100 acres this season.

C. ROOT.

SCOTCH RIDGE, WOOD CO., O., Oct. 5, 1882.

TRACY & SON, TOLEDO, O.:

I have used a six-foot Eureka Mower three years; have cut at least 225 acres with it without any expense for repairs. I wouldn't take the best side-cut mower made as a gift and agree to do my work with it.

GEORGE LOOMIS.

BOWLING GREEN, O., Sept. 21, 1882.

TRACY & SON, TOLEDO, O.:

The seven-foot Eureka Mower bought of you last Spring gave splendid satisfaction. In fact it did better than you claimed for it. The grass will cure as fast again as it will cut with any other mower, and I can cut so much faster, that, in bad weather I can cut and get it cured between showers. I would say to any one wanting a mower, buy the seven-foot Eureka.

GEO. M. BROWN.

Mr. G. M. Brown is the sheriff of Wood County.

MILLBURY, WOOD CO., O., Jan. 1, 1883.

TRACY & SON, TOLEDO, O.:

I take pleasure in saying that the Eureka six-foot Mower bought of you last season has given perfect satisfaction. I can cut at least one-third more grass, and it runs lighter than any other machine I ever used. I cut about fifty acres of heavy grass without any repairs. It leaves the grass spread evenly, so that it cures much faster and better than when cut with a side-cut.

T. E. WIGHT.

Mr. Wight is a breeder and dealer in thoroughbred Ayrshire cattle.

MILLBURY, WOOD CO., O., Jan. 1, 1883.

TRACY & SON, TOLEDO, O.:

The Eureka Mower bought of you is just the thing for cutting grass. It will do from one-third to one-half more work with less power, and leave the grass in better condition to dry than any other mower I ever saw.

W. L. WIGHT.

MT. GILEAD, OHIO.

BOYLE & CAREY:

Dear Sirs—I have used the Eureka Mowing Machine one season, and like it better than any machine I have ever used. It has some very good advantages over other machines in cutting heavy and light grass; it leaves it in such a way that the heavy will cure nearly as quick as when it is light. I can cut over one-third, if not more, ground with same team than any other machine I have ever used, and consider it safer for man and team than any machine I have seen. I could mention several other points where I consider it an advantage over other machines, but do not think it necessary to say more.

ISAAC RULE.

Dear Sirs—I have cut between 35 and 40 acres of grass with my Eureka Mower, and must say I never got that amount of grass up as good, and with as little expense, and can cheerfully recommend it to hay-makers as the best machine I ever saw for that purpose.

E. BONER.

Dear Sirs—I have cut 100 acres of grass with the Eureka Mower, using a small team; the horses' necks were sore when I commenced, but were well before I had finished, so light and easy was the work. For convenience of handling, ease of draft, and rapid motion, it beats them all. I would have no other.

DAVID BAILEY.

Gents—Having used the Eureka Machine to cut my hay this season, I wish to say to you, for convenience of handling, ease of draft, and rapidity of cutting, curing grass, etc., it beats any mower I ever saw. It is not hard on horses' necks. If I was going to buy a mower I would buy no other.

RICHARD WORDEN.

Dear Sirs—I have used four different kinds of mowing machines, and I think the Eureka superior to all of them in every respect. I would buy no other.

OLIVER JACKSON.

Gents—I have fairly tested the Eureka Mower during the past harvest, and am ready and do hereby testify that for lightness of draft, ease on the neck, rapidity of cutting, and curing of grass, I have never found its equal, having bought and used the firstmower in the county. And I would further say, for mowing around stumps, trees, or any other obstacle, it cannot be excelled.

B. W. CHASE.

Dear Sirs—In answer to yours, asking how I liked the Eureka Mower, would say, after cutting my harvest with it, I find it has many good points that I can't find in a side-cut machine. 1st, it leaves the cut grass in better shape to dry out sooner than that cut by a side-cut machine. 2d, I can cut more grass in the same time than any other machine, and do it easier. 3d, less danger of upsetting, and I have reason to believe it will be a durable machine, and one easy to keep in repair.

J. S. SUTTON.

Dear Sirs—Having tried your Eureka Mower, and tested it with other Mowing Machines, I can truthfully say it is the best mower I ever saw for lightness of draft, speed in cutting, leaves the grass in best condition to dry, is the easiest machine to drive or handle on all kinds of ground, and can recommend it to all farmers, and if I had fifty acres of grass to cut annually, would buy the Eureka before I would take a side-cut as a gift.

O. D. PHILLIPS.

BENJ. PHILLIPS.

UTICA, O., September 6th, 1882.

Gentlemen—I have used the Eureka Mower the past season, and am free to say that I consider it the best machine on the market. To cure hay properly the farmer wants to get his grass cut early in the day so that it will get the full benefit of the sun and breeze, and the Eureka is just the machine to do it with, for the following reasons: 1. It cuts a wider swath than any other mower. 2. Unlike the side-draft machines, its tendency is to overcut, hence it is always cutting a full swath; in practice, two rounds with the Eureka six-foot cutter-bar cuts considerable more grass than three rounds with other mowers having four and a half foot cutter-bars. 3. It leaves the grass standing almost erect, so the air circulates through and cures it before it is bleached by the sun, thus securing a much better quality of hay. 4. It has a decided advantage over all other mowers I have seen in cutting clover for seed, as the cutter-bar can be set up without straining the machine, the clover cut without having the seed trampled out by running over it, cured easier, and raked up when the dew is on without losing seed, thus dispensing with about half the labor usually required.

Other advantages might be mentioned, but the above will suffice to show the reason of my belief that the Eureka is destined to come into general use. Several machines are in use in this neighborhood, and all who have used them bear the same testimony of enthusiastic approval. Hoping that you will meet with unlimited success in selling the Eureka, thus benefiting the farmers of Ohio as well as yourselves, is the desire of

Yours truly,

J. H. KIRKPATRICK.

R. A. BELL, SHARON, PA.:

HARTFORD, OHIO, Dec. 26, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower bought from you for two years, and am well satisfied in every respect with its work. It is unequaled in lightness of draft, and as to the manner in which it leaves the cut grass. My neighbor and I cut our grass on the same morning, he with an Excelsior, I with a Eureka. He had to turn his over and put into cock; I put mine into the barn the same day. The grass in the two meadows was about the same. I consider the Eureka the best machine mower made.

JOEL BUSHNELL.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

COLUMBUS, O., Dec. 30, 1882.

We find the Eureka Mower to be the *best* mower manufactured, not only for the practical farmer, but for the dealer to handle, for the dealer cannot say anything about the Eureka, but what it will by trial prove to be better than he has recommended it to be. All farmers that we have sold to say it excels in the fullest degree their expectations.

A. C. DONEY & SON.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

UHRICHSVILLE, OHIO, Dec. 28, 1882.

Your favor, asking my opinion of Eureka Mower, is at hand. I have used the Buckeye about twelve years; Ohio about ten years, and have used Champion some and the Eureka two years. I could not afford to use a side-cut mower as a gift for the following reasons: every ton of hay cut by Eureka is worth at least one dollar and a half more than cut with side-cut.

The grass is in far better condition for drying, being left light and loose, untrod-den by horses, unpressed in stubble, sand and dirt by machine, there being no use for tedder or scattering by hand in heavy grass, drying evenly all through at the same time.

By using the six-foot cut Eureka Mower, cutting the grass has become the smaller part of hay harvest.

Cutting fully one-third faster with the same draft of the lightest draft four-foot cut machine in the market, and with ease to the man handling it; spreading the horses wide apart in hot weather, and avoid trampling others' feet in turning; draft being square behind horses, or no side draft; no expenses for repairs, being the most simple machine I ever saw, being quick motion, thereby avoiding choking in fine grass; no piston rod and cutter bar getting out of line and cutting eye of knife and boxes; no running against stones and stumps with piston rod and bending or breaking it; no getting off machine to throw cutter bar over stumps. Last, but not least, cutting back and forth on one side of field, avoiding pulling up hill and danger of upsetting, being as secure on hillside as on level ground; no slipping of machine from grass, and a *multitude of other advantages too numerous to mention.*

JOSHUA LEGGETT, JR.

The following are a few of the names of farmers of this county who have used the Eureka Mower, and who will indorse all I have said about machine: H. G. Riker, Jacob Huston, M. W. Porter, W. I. Kennedy, Wm. Strawn, Jonas Breamer, Forbes Bros., Stocker Bros.

ROUND BOTTOM, MONROE CO., O., Jan. 22, 1883.

I can with pleasure recommend the Eureka Mower to all farmers who have grass to cut, because it is lightest of draft of any I have tried. It leaves the grass in better condition to cure than any side-cut can and is far superior to any side draft mower on rough ground. And would say it is the only *real* Mower at the present time.

A. M. MCINTIRE.

GALLIPOLIS, O., Jan. 21, 1883.

I take pleasure in furnishing my testimony in behalf of the Eureka Mower, and do not hesitate to say that it is much superior to any side-cut machine that I have yet seen, and could furnish testimonials of several other prominent farmers to the same effect. It is a grand success and will in time supersede the side-cut machines entirely. Truly yours,

E. E. WADDELL.

McCONNELLSVILLE, MORGAN Co., O., Dec. 22, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

In regard to the Eureka as a Mower I can say that I am highly pleased with it. Your agent, A. Lynn, brought one to my place and wanted me to buy it after I had commenced to make my hay. He told me to try it, at least, and I objected, on account of one horse walking in the grass; but, after trying it, I can say it is no objection at all, as what is trampled is cut in the return trip. I have farmed long enough to wear out three first-class side-cut mowers; was one among the first in our neighborhood to buy a mower, and I can truthfully say that I would not give the Eureka for them all. For several reasons I like it the best: 1st. Can mow as much in two hours as I can with any side-cut machine in three hours; 2d. It leaves the grass in better condition for curing, is not trampled by the horses, and the machine does not pass over it; 3d. Can mow on a steeper hill-side; 4th. Whenever I want to quit the hay is all together, as I always mow back and forth on one side of the field. So, from this on, while I need a mowing machine it will be the Eureka.

THOMAS SEVALL.

OTTAWA, O., January 17, 1883.

I bought last season of D. A. Hemley a 6 foot cut Eureka Mower. Cut 40 acres of grass, did not have 5 cents worth of expense. I can cut one-third more grass, can cure it in one-third less time and with one-third less draft than any side-cut mower made. The grass is left even on the ground in a loose condition, hence the cause of curing so much quicker. The mower in every respect does all claimed for it. It is simple and not liable to get out of order.

JAMES H. SMITH.

SANDUSKY, O., January 2, 1883.

TRUESDALL & FORSTER, NORTH MONROEVILLE, O.:

Yours of December 29th was duly received. The Eureka 6 foot cut mower has been used to cut my Clover, Millet, Hungarian and Mixed Grasses for the two past seasons, has satisfied all who have used it, and all interested in its work. In fact, *we think* it is the machine with which to cut all kinds of grass. For it does it easier, quicker, and leaves the grass in a condition to cure quicker and more evenly than any other ever used by us. When side-cut machines were used and the grass heavy the tedder was frequently run over twice to enable it to cure evenly. The time saved in cutting, teddering and curing, and the wear and tear on teams, make up a bill of items that will soon pay for a machine.

Yours truly,

C. H. RANSOM.

HAVANA, O., Jan'y 6th, 1883.

TRUESDALL & FORSTER, NORTH MONROEVILLE, O.:

The Eureka Mower that I bought of you has given good satisfaction. Think it is a saving of one hand a day in the hay field over any other machine.

Yours truly,

W. O. ROBINSON.

HOLMES' MILL, JEFFERSON COUNTY, OHIO.

E. H. EKEY, STEUBENVILLE, OHIO:

The six foot Eureka Mower, bought of you last July was used with great satisfaction and pleasure. We cut our crop of badly lodged clover hay in a very satisfactory manner. It cuts faster, runs lighter, and leaves the grass in better shape to cure and more convenient to rake, than any side-draft Mower I have ever seen. Those features that are looked on as objections, all vanish when a fair test has been made.

WM. A. ELLIOTT.

DUNCAN'S FALLS, OHIO, Dec. 30, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER Co., TOWANDA, PA.:

Last season I was induced by your agent, A. Lynn, of Blue Rock, to buy one of your six foot Eureka Mowers, and now can conscientiously say that in my opinion it is the best Mower in use. I told your agent that I had grass that I thought no machine would cut, and he told me if the Eureka did not cut it I need not buy it. The grass was heavy and lodged, but it cut it nice and smooth and with less draft than my Champion 4 foot. There is no objection to one horse walking in the grass, as that which is trampled going is cut clean on the return trip.

BASIL CRAIG.

WOOSTER, O., July 27th, 1882.

The Eureka has done as well as we expected. We think we can handle it to advantage next season, and will be pleased to arrange with you for its sale. We are satisfied it is the coming machine.

LEHR & SMITH.

NEW MOREFIELD, CLARK CO., O., July 18th, 1882.

JESSE MEAD:

Dear Sir—Your P. C. at hand. I have mowed about forty acres with the Eureka, and I am well pleased with it. Can recommend it *conscientiously* to any one wanting a Mower. I consider, in *fact I know*, it to be of lighter draft than our four foot six inch Champion. I can tell you more when I see you. In fact it catches all that see it.

JAMES CLARK.

BOWLUSVILLE, OHIO Dec. 25th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

Your circular received. I have used a Eureka Mower on my farm for three years. I consider it the best machine for cutting grass that I have ever used or seen, and I have seen a good many in the last fifteen years at State and County Fairs, and the great Centennial at Philadelphia. I consider it the best Mower for dealers to handle that is in the market; so far as I have seen I give it the first place as a Mower. It will cut more grass with less labor for the team and convenience to the man to handle, and is very easy to ride on, and leaves the grass in the best possible condition to cure quick and evenly. I have been using Mowing Machinery for twenty years, but the Eureka beats them all in my judgment.

JESSE MEAD.

SPRINGFIELD, Ohio, June 20th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

By request of Jesse Mead, I give you my opinion and experience with the Eureka and other Mowing Machines that I have used. The Mowing Machine left with me last season by him has given me entire satisfaction even beyond my expectation. It is the lightest draft Machine I ever saw; have used several other machines, but would not go back to any side-cutting machine that ever came to my notice. I pronounce it the lightest draft, cuts more grass, leaves it in the best condition for curing, and will cure in a shorter time than that cut by any other machine. Will recommend it to all who may want a machine.

D. O. FRANTZ.

MECHANICSBURG, O., Dec. 22, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Yours of 15th inst. to hand and noted. We inclose you a few testimonials for the Eureka Mower; we might send you more; the universal report from all that we have put out is that they are the best mower in the world; the farmers all say that they never made as good hay after any other mower, for the reason that it cures so much quicker.

HUNTER & OSBORN.

August 16, 1880.

Having bought a six foot cut Eureka Mower of Hunter & Osborn, the present season, I can say I am very much pleased with it. It is of very light draft, and leaves the grass in most excellent condition for curing out. As to quality of work done as well as to the quality of material and workmanship, I think it the best mower I have ever used. I cut 40 acres of meadow without once grinding the knives.

E. A. GUY.

I have examined the workings of the Eureka Single Mower in the field, and consider it far the best Single Mower that I know of—for several reasons: The width of cut; lightness of draft; condition in which it leaves the hay for drying; safety of driver; good position for noticing any obstruction; durability, etc.

J. J. WARE.

The undersigned has witnessed the working of the Eureka Mower, and from what I have seen I am convinced that it is a first-class machine, and that it is just what it claims to be—light in draft, leaving grass in fine condition for curing, and well adapted to the needs of the farmer.

JOSEPH MUMMA.

I witnessed the working of the Eureka Mower, and must recommend it to the farmers as the best mower that I ever saw. Its advantages of absolutely direct draft, and of leaving the mown grass in better condition, untrodden by the horses and untouched by the wheels of the machine, are always appreciated when the Eureka has been tried. Hitching the horses directly in front enables them to draw a mower cutting a six-foot swath with as much ease as a four-foot side draft cut.

D. REDABAUGH.

Having used the Eureka Mower during the past season in my meadow, I never saw anything to equal it. I was astonished at the lightness of draft, and the excellent quality of work done. The grass was left in such nice conditions for curing out, and for raking, as to elicit praise from every one that witnessed its operation. I feel that too much cannot be said in favor of the Eureka. We had mowed part of our meadow with another first-class machine, but the Eureka did far superior work.

THOMAS BOULTON.

I used a six-foot cut Eureka Mower this season, and have used it in all kinds of grass. I have never yet found a place where it did not do good work, nor have I ever had a mower that would equal it. It cuts a wide swath, draws easy and leaves the grass in better condition to cure and rake than any side-cut machine I ever saw.

AARON WEAVER.

I hereby certify that during the present season I have mowed forty acres of grass with the Eureka Mower, and now having given the machine a thorough practical test, I am convinced beyond a doubt that it is far superior to any mower I have ever used or seen. The draft is very light, the quality of work excellent, and especially the condition in which the grass is left after cutting, so that it cures out readily, is worthy of special commendation. Part of my ground, about fifteen acres, was very rough, yet the work done was good, even in the roughest ground. To parties wanting a first-class mower, I would cordially recommend the Eureka.

M. V. B. DAVIS.

I witnessed the working of the Eureka Mower, and can recommend it to the farmers for the following reasons: The horses are attached to the center of the machine; the cut grass is not trodden at all. The grass is left raised up and so light that curing follows without stirring. For the great advantage of being able to work the land in any direction, and thus attack difficult crops in the best way. For the lightness of draft. For its great capacity for rapid work.

JACOB CHIDESTER.

TO WHOM THIS MAY CONCERN:

I used one of the Eureka Mowers in finishing my mowing; mowed about 20 acres of heavy grass. I have used the Champion and Buckeye Mower, but the Eureka machine surpasses them all in lightness of draft, besides doing the work better; it leaves the grass in a standing position, so that it cures out more evenly, and in one-third less time. It is so constructed that you can raise the cutter bar four or five inches from the ground for the purpose of mowing weeds. I mowed one pasture with it in a very short time. It is so constructed that I believe that it will do more work than any other machine I have ever seen without getting out of order.

V. HUNTER.

Having seen one of the Eureka Mowing Machines working in Capt. V. Hunter's meadow, I was much pleased with its operation. The ease of draft and the manner in which it leaves the grass (almost standing after being cut off), is a valuable feature in the curing of hay.

JOHN C. BAKER.

PLYMOUTH, RICHLAND Co., OHIO, Jan. 11, 1883.

All things considered I think the Eureka is the best Mower I ever used, and I have used several different kinds for twenty years. I took the Eureka down to the farm after my farmer had commenced mowing with a Champion; his horses were working hard, and it was shaking him up so, he had to walk and drive. I told him to hitch on the Eureka; he did so, and in two hours he finished the field. You ought to have seen his long face shorten up in a broad smile as he said, "My horses' sweat is dried up, and I am cutting as fast again, and doing my work much better. No more Champion for me."

J. J. YOUNGS.

ASHLAND, OHIO, Dec. 26, 1882.

The Eureka Mowers are well liked, and prospects for next year's trade are good.

Yours resp'y,

F. E. MYERS & BRO.

SINCLAIRVILLE, OHIO, Dec. 25, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

In answer to your inquiry about the Eureka Mower, I would say it is good for all you claim for it. I have used it the last two seasons in doing my haying of 200 acres. I had two of them in use the last season. Its advantage over the best side-cut Mower in use would more than pay for itself each year.

JAMES McALLISTER.

PEMBERVILLE, O., Dec. 27th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

I bought a Eureka Mower last year. I cut fifty acres of grass with it in five days with a pair of colts; had the boys follow up with the old buggy horse to the rake, and the heavy team to the wagon with Fout's loader hitched behind, and in less than a week we had 100 tons of good hay in the barns without getting any of it or the machine in a drop of rain. One of the hired boys bought the Eureka machine, and now you will please send me another, it runs so very light for the team; cuts very fast; my land is stumpy and I can cut clean on both sides of the stumps, can turn short either way without clogging; can cut all on one side of the field; can have all the dry hay together, can drive all the time across the dead furrows and keep the knives from cutting in banks and ridges, get rid of the annoyance of one wheel running in a dead furrow, can follow up with my loader, which won't work at all with one wheel in a furrow or straddle of a ridge. I have no more room to write, and "the half hath not been told."

M. REESE.

SUNNY SLOPE FARM, COLUMBUS GROVE, OHIO, Aug. 24, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Gentlemen—I bought a Eureka Mower of D. A. Hemley, and must say I never saw anything work nicer, and runs so lightly the horses did not seem to consider it anything. I put a young boy that never had used a mower on the machine, and he cut nine acres of grass in eight hours—cuts as smooth as a floor. I must say the Eureka Mower far surpasses any machine I have ever seen; any child that could drive a team can use your machine.

E. W. GREENE.

URBANA, O.

My Dear Sir—You ask me for my opinion of the Eureka Mower. To me it seems hardly worth while to write of its merits. Once seen in operation, it certainly needs no one to enumerate its scale of good points. I took one on trial last year, cut forty acres of extra good and heavy grass; cut it more evenly, cut it faster, got it cured quicker, hauled it to the barn and stacks quicker, paid off my hands sooner, finished up my hay harvest, and was ready to devote my time to any work or pleasure that was to be found, and all on account of the combined good points the Eureka possesses!

My only difficulty was that my team could not walk fast enough. On my level farm, I believe that the machine would cut well, driven at a good road-wagon gait. This may seem a highly-drawn card for the Eureka Mower, but it is certainly the most satisfactory piece of machinery I have ever had on my farm.

Yours truly,

WM. S. FOSTER.

OFFICE OF W. I. CHAMBERLAIN, HUDSON, OHIO.

Dear Sir—I mowed my young orchard to-day, and was astonished to find the Eureka did better work there, in every respect, than the Buckeye. I didn't leave six clips for the scythe, on the average, at each tree, and I didn't bark a tree, or have to stop for clogging, as I invariably have to do with the Buckeye. I padded the out-ends of the whiffletrees with a soft rag, and then drove close and turned in pretty short as soon as the whiffletrees passed the tree. I never want to take a side-draft machine into my young orchard again. I have used the Buckeye for fourteen years, and one half day with the Eureka has cured me. I write this because you seem to admit that for orchards a side-draft machine might be better. Don't you ever admit any such foolish thing again! Half the time I have to leave a strip for the scythe, the whole length of the row, with the Buckeye, or else clear back the mowed grass so as to work the machine. But the Eureka works without clogging when you "gee" or "haw" in short; and when you drive half of the cutter-bar on the mown grass, it slides right under the cut grass. I have mowed about thirty acres, and

have not cut a guard or nicked a section, and the knives are not injured at all. I have not found the place where I do not like the Eureka better than any side-draft machine I ever saw. If it works, as I think it will, in lodged clover and heavy Hungarian grass, I shall call it a necessity on any farm where these crops or any very heavy grass is raised. I have mowed very green timothy (just in bloom), that would yield three tons per acre, and in four hours it was fit to go into the barn. It stood up so loose that both sun and wind got entirely through it and cured it equally and uniformly throughout.

Mr. Clark thinks I am "awfully converted," for a man so strongly prejudiced against the Eureka as I was up to the day I first sat on one, only a week ago.

You did not ask me to write you how I liked the machine on further trial, in orchard, etc., but I cannot deny myself the pleasure of doing so. You are at liberty to show this to whomsoever you choose. *As far as I have tried the Eureka, it gives the most absolute satisfaction.*

Very truly yours,

(Signed.)

W. I. CHAMBERLAIN.

[Mr. Chamberlain is Secretary of Ohio State Board of Agriculture, and a practical farmer.]

MISCELLANEOUS.

SEYMOUR, IND., Sept. 22, 1882.

MR. L. D. CARPENTER, SEYMOUR, IND.:

Dear Sir—The Eureka we bought of you beats them all. We cut about 40 acres of heavy grass with no expense whatever. It won't choke up, and leaves the grass in fine condition for curing. We have used several side-cut mowers, but after trying the Eureka would not have a side-cut mower at any price. It is very light draft and cannot help being durable, for it is so simple. We would recommend any farmer wanting a Mower to buy this machine, for it is the best. Respectfully,
JAMES CURRY AND M. WHITSETT.

VALLONIA, IND., Sept. 20, 1882.

L. D. CARPENTER, SEYMOUR, IND.:

Dear Sir—Yours of the 18th inst. inquiring as to my experience with the Eureka Mower, received. In answer I will say that I have owned and used a six-foot Eureka Mower for six years, from 1877 to 1882—both inclusive. Cut with it in 1877 about 55 acres of grass, and every year since about an average of 30 acres. During that time expenses for repairs have not, perhaps, exceeded \$1.00. The sections of knives are now about worn out, and when renewed the machine will be in good serviceable condition—good for six more years' service. For speed, lightness of draft, capacity to cut lodged and tangled grass or clover, and strength and durability, I regard it, by far, the best machine I have ever used or seen used. It is equal, if not superior to any other machine in the smoothness of its cut, and its capacity to adapt itself to uneven ground. It also surpasses all side-cut machines in the condition the cut grass is left in—merely cut off and left standing, or lying loose, by which it cures much quicker than that cut by other machines. I can cheerfully recommend it to any one wanting a first-class Mower. Respectfully,
S. T. WELLS.

WOULD NOT USE A SIDE-CUT MOWER AS A GIFT, IF I COULD GET THE EUREKA FOR \$100.00.

CORTLAND, IND., Sept. 22, 1882.

L. D. CARPENTER, SEYMOUR, IND.:

Dear Sir—You ask, how do I like my Eureka Mower? In reply, I will say, after cutting about 50 acres of heavy grass and clover, I would not have a side-cut machine as a gift, if I could get a Eureka at full price. It is by far the lightest draft and best cutting Mower I have ever used. My six-foot cut machine is as light on my team as a cultivator, but the best of all is, it leaves the grass in such fine condition for curing. Grass or clover cut with the Eureka, is ready to take up much sooner than if cut with any other Mower, as it lays up perfectly loose, and neither machine or horses get on the cut grass, enabling it to cure evenly and much sooner than it could if run over with machine and horses. I have had no expenses, and I am satisfied the Eureka will be durable. Any farmer wanting a mower, should not fail to examine the Eureka before purchasing, for, after a thorough test, I can recommend it, believing it to be the best Mower made. Yours truly,
DAN. WALKER.

USED THE EUREKA MOWER SIX YEARS, AND WOULD HAVE NOTHING ELSE.

I bought one of the five-foot Eureka Mowers in the season of 1877, and after cutting with it six years, in all about 150 acres of grass and clover, I want to say it is the best and lightest draft mower made. I have not paid out one cent for repairs. It cuts fast and leaves grass in a much better condition for curing than any other mower. I have used it on the very worst and roughest ground, and it gives me the best of satisfaction. I think my machine is good for ten years yet. I would have nothing else, and every farmer that needs a Mower should get the Eureka.

Respectfully,

DANIEL ELMER.

SEYMOUR, IND., Sept. 22, 1882.

L. D. CARPENTER:

Dear Sir—You ask me how I like my Eureka Mower. In reply I would say I have used one three years, and believe it to be the best Mower made. It runs light, is durable, and leaves the grass in better shape for curing than any other Mower. I can recommend the Eureka, to any one wanting a first-class Mower, as the best made. I quit farming after using my Eureka three years, and sold it for \$80.

Truly yours,

JNO. M. SEAMAN.

TO THE FARMERS OF JACKSON COUNTY:

I would say, after having the Eureka Mower cut in my clover, and hearing others talk about it that have used it for several years, I became satisfied it was the Mower for me, and I have placed an order with the Agent, L. D. Carpenter, at Seymour, Ind., for a six-foot machine for the season of 1883, as I believe this Mower to be far superior to side-cut machines. Respectfully yours,

J. HERSCH PETERS.

SEYMOUR, IND., Sept. 22, 1882.

L. D. CARPENTER:

Dear Sir—The Eureka Mower that cut my clover patch did as fine work as one could ask for. It left it all standing up loose and nice, and it cured out evenly. It runs light, and I believe it to be a first-class Mower in every particular.

Respectfully yours,

C. H. HANCOCK.

PLEASANT HILL, KY., Nov. 15, 1882.

I bought a six-foot Eureka Mower on trial, and have tested it thoroughly in heavy blue grass and clover, and am free to say I believe it to be the best mower I ever owned. I have a Champion, and have used the two in the same field together, and would rather own a Eureka at \$100 than a side-cut mower gratis. It runs lighter, cutting a full six-foot swath, than the Champion cutting only four feet. It obviates the use of a tedder, as it leaves the grass standing, thereby allowing the air to pass through the cut hay, and cure much more evenly and quickly.

Everything considered, the Eureka is invaluable to a farmer who has grass to cut. Do not buy anything but a Eureka, or you will regret it.

I tried an Iron King, and it would not work at all.

A. N. KULP, Manager Shaker Farm.

LAPEER, MICH., Jan. 20th, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

Gentlemen—I sold the Eureka Mower last season, and must say that I am surprised to learn of the satisfaction it gives. I did not believe it was as good as it is, although I thought it was a "scooper," and so it is. I never saw a machine work any better.

S. R. WILCOX.

OAKWOOD, MICH., July 20th, 1882.

We have used the six foot Eureka Mower on our farms this season. We like it much better in many ways than any other mower we ever saw. Every farmer should have a Eureka. Try one before you buy any side-cut, for you will surely have no other. You will save time, money, and secure a better quality of hay, by purchasing a Eureka.

THOMAS REED.

OGDEN REED.

JOSEPH REED.

LAKEVILLE, MICH., July 20th, 1882.

I have thoroughly tested the Eureka on my hilly farm. It is the Mower for me in every place.

ALBERT STODDARD.

NORTH FERRISBURGH, VT., Jan. 16th, 1883.

I cheerfully add my testimonial to the many now using the Eureka Mower. After using the Buckeye several years I am convinced, by one season's use of the Eureka, that it is the best mower made, for the following reasons: 1st. It is a direct draft, therefore easily handled by a light team. 2d. It cuts one-third more grass than any side-cut, and leaving the grass untrdden; it cuts quickly. 3d. It rides very easily, as it does not jar the driver or team.

SAMUEL A. HAND.

MESSRS. KINGMAN & Co., PEORIA, ILL.:

Gentlemen—I used a seven-foot cut Eureka Mower last Fall on my farm, and cut about 100 acres of heavy grass and weeds; some of the grass was six feet high or over. I used two horses, weighed about 1,050 each. Will say that, in my opinion, it runs as light as any four foot side-cut Mower made.

Yours truly,
S. CRUMPTON.

MARION CENTRE, KAN., Jan. 18th, 1883.

I have a six-foot cut mower, and put it in several places on trial, and it was acknowledged by all to be the best mower ever put up. I can cut with your six-foot easier and more in a day than can be done with any four-foot cut mower. I cut very heavy rye with a small span of horses, would not weigh over 800 each, and cut ten acres a day. No four foot could cut over eight acres, and then with a team that would weigh 1,200 lbs. I am of the opinion that your mower will excel all others. It will only take time to convince farmers that they are the best mowers and cheapest for them.

Yours,
JOSEPH M. YOUNG.

SUDLERSVILLE, MD., Dec. 22, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER Co., TOWANDA, PA.:

I purchased last summer of W. D. Rowe one of your six-foot Mowers, and cut thirty acres of grass with great satisfaction and rapidity. I consider them incomparably superior to any side-cut Mower I have ever seen.

JOS. E. GEORGE.

I borrowed of Mr. George his Eureka Mower to cut my lot of timothy, and recommend it to all persons needing a Mower. Beats anything I ever saw in the shape of a Mower.

S. G. WALLS.

I had the use of Mr. George's Eureka Mower to cut a small lot of grass, and must say that it "takes the cake."

JOSHUA CLARK.

POQUONOCK BRIDGE, CONN., Jan. 3, 1883.

We used the Eureka for the first time last season—a six-foot cut—mowing about seventy acres. It proved itself to be the best Mower we have ever seen or used. It does its work well, and in one-half the time of any side-draft machine we have ever seen, leaves the grass in better shape to cure and rake, and it is less work for the team to mow two acres with the Eureka than one with a side-cut of four and a half feet. The Eureka is all it is recommended to be.

N. S. FISH.

SISSON & STRONG, NORTH LYME, CONN.:

Having successively used the Champion, Clipper and Union Mowers, I find the Eureka far superior to them all, both in the quality and quantity of its work, as well as its unequaled light draft and simplicity of construction. In mowing around rocks and stumps no side-cut machine can compete with the Eureka.

GEORGE H. GATES.

SAYBROOK, CONN., Dec., 1882.

EAST HADDAM, CONN., Aug. 1, 1882.

The Eureka is all you claim it to be. *No more side-cuts for me.*

J. B. GELSTON.

MYSTIC BRIDGE, CONN., March 27th, 1882.

I am well satisfied with the Eureka Mower, and claim that it has advantages over side-cut machines.

ASA FISH, 2d.

SALEM, CONN., January, 1883.

In regard to the Eureka Mower, I find that it does one-half more work, and does it with the same team and easier, and as well as any machine I have ever seen. It is in fact the only Mower constructed upon correct principle, and is well adapted to uneven ground, small fields, hillsides, etc.

C. H. BAILEY.

NORTH LYME, CONN., Jan. 18th, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

Gentlemen—Previous to using the Eureka, we have used several side-cut machines. For the last three seasons we have used the Eureka, and find it far superior to them

all, especially on rough and uneven ground, among rocks and stumps. Have done very fair mowing with the Eureka, where it would be unsafe to ride on a side-cut with the bar folded. The Eureka cuts fast, is very light draft, does not easily get out of order, leaves the grass so that it will cure in half the time that it would cut with a side-cut. We have put the Eureka to the most severe tests, find it strong and think it will be very durable. We would not take a side-cut as a gift and be obliged to use it, because we couldn't afford to. The day of side-cut machines is past. The Eureka is constructed on the right principle, and is a grand success. The Eureka in use in this section give perfect satisfaction.

SISSON & STRONG.

NORTH LYME, CONN., January, 1883.

We, the undersigned, bought of Sisson & Strong, of North Lyme, Conn., in the summer of 1882, a Mowing Machine known as the Eureka, and being called on by them for our opinion of its working, without hesitation say, that in our experience of 15 years or more, we think it the best machine we have ever seen. The light center draft, large wheels, mowing on one side of the piece, and in the condition in which the grass is left, are some of its great advantages. In fact, it is a first-class machine, and one that will do all that is claimed for it. A trial will convince any man of its merits.

JEWETT BROTHERS.

SABETHA, KAN., Dec. 19th, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower and many other kinds, and find by test that the Eureka will do more work in the same time than any other, at a great saving of horse flesh, as it runs with about one-half the draft of the others, considering the width of cut.

M. W. WOLCOTT.

BATTER SEA FARM, PETERSBURG, VA., Sept. 15th, 1882.

The Mower has given me complete satisfaction. I have found it to possess all the points of excellence which you claim for it in your circular.

I shall take pleasure in recommending it to other farmers in this vicinity.

Inclosed you will find draft on New York in settlement for the mower.

FRANKLIN WRIGHT.

ASSUMPTION, ILL., Dec. 13th, 1882.

I have used mowers ever since 1850, including nearly all the best side-cuts, but for ease in handling, rapidity in cutting, lightness of draft, and manner of leaving the grass, the Eureka takes the lead. When I hitched to the mower my horses started off as though they were drawing a buggy. I cut from 20 to 23 acres per day during my haying of over 200 acres with one team and one of them a four year old colt. I consider the Eureka the best mower I ever saw. I also consider it cheaper at a reasonable price than a side-cut as a gift. The farmer who wishes to save labor and get pay for what he does, will buy the Eureka.

P. L. MYERS.

ALTA, PEORIA CO., ILL., July 24, 1882.

I have used a Eureka Mower for the past four years, and will go into any field and compete with any other machine made—either for speed, light draft or quality of work. Is the Iron King of St. Paul any relation of the Eureka? If it is, you better disown it. They tried to sell it here on the reputation of the Eureka, but so far as I can learn they have been failures—and returned. My six-foot mower has never had a nickel spent on it for repairs—except for sections.

ALEX. KRADY.

ASSUMPTION, ILL., Dec. 15th, 1882.

I helped cut one hundred and sixty acres of grass with the Eureka Mower, and I think it the best machine I ever used.

D. W. BAST.

DOVER, DEL., May 31, 1882.

I put the Eureka Mower to work in Dr. D. F. Bustin's meadow in Dover twp. The grass was orchard and clover mixed, yielding nearly two tons per acre. The machine worked charmingly. As a result, I sold one to Dr. Henry Ridgely, president of the Farmers' Bank of the State of Delaware. This grass is very early. I am expecting a "boom" in Eureka. Will there be any doubt as to getting them promptly?

D. C. HOFFECKER.

PASCACK, N. J., Jan'y 18, 1883.

We have sold the Eureka Mowers for the past three seasons, and can say with pleasure that every machine sold has given entire satisfaction. The quantity of work done, the condition the grass is left after it is cut, and lightness of draft cannot be equaled by any machine we ever saw.

ACKERMAN BROS.

PASCACK, BERGEN CO., N. J., January 6th, 1883.

MESSRS. ACKERMAN BROS., PASCACK, N. J.:

Dear Sirs—On July 5th, 1881, I had on my farm a field trial of three mowers, the Walter A. Wood, the Bradley, and the Eureka. The machines were handled by their respective agents and tried by myself, first all in one field, and after each in a field by itself. After giving each one a fair test, I decided in favor of the Eureka, because I believe it is the lightest draft machine and the one that did the most and best work in the shortest time. Several farmers and friends who were present at the trial decided with me in favor of your machine. I cut a good deal of grass with it last summer myself, and the more I used it the better I liked it. No side-cut mower for me while I can get a Eureka.

Respectfully yours, J. JAY BATE.

NORWOOD, N. J., January 8th, 1883.

MESSRS. ACKERMAN BROS., PASCACK, N. J.:

Gentlemen—The Eureka Mower purchased from you in 1881 has been used on my farm two seasons. I am much pleased with it. It is not likely to get out of order with proper use; for ease of draught and good work, do not think it is excelled by any. The "Eureka" is the best mower for all work that I have ever known.

Respectfully yours, N. E. MOORE.

RIDGEWOOD, N. J., January 9th, 1883.

ACKERMAN BROS., PASCACK, N. J.:

Dear Sirs—We have used the Eureka Mower one season and it gives entire satisfaction; we find it cuts grass much quicker, and it dries quicker than when cut with side draft machines. I like it much better in the meadow also, as you have more control of the cutter bar. We would not like to be without it.

Yours truly, C. T. ZABRISKIE.

OAKLAWN FARM,
M. W. Dunham, Proprietor,
WAYNE, DU PAGE CO., ILL., July 19, 1882. }

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

I herewith hand you draft in payment for one six foot Eureka Mower, for which you will please acknowledge receipt. I have been trying the machine for the last few days, and like it very much. We find that it does excellent work, and is even lighter in draft than my side-cut machines that cut one and one-half feet narrower swath. With the test we have given it we feel confident it will give entire satisfaction.

Very truly yours, M. W. DUNHAM.

TECUMSEH, MICH., Dec. 28, 1882.

I purchased a Eureka Mower of your agents, Messrs. D. P. Anthony & Co., last year. I have tested it thoroughly. I can cheerfully recommend it to be the best Mower I have ever known. It runs one-third lighter, rides much easier. The hay cures at least one-third quicker than from any side-cut machine. I think it the best and most economical machine for any farmer to buy.

LEWIS G. LESTER.

IONIA, MICH., Jan'y 1st, 1883.

Our experience with the six foot Eureka Mower has been very satisfactory, and we know from personal knowledge that the five foot machine is equally as desirable where not so much haste or quantity of work is desired; the writer (T. S. Barringer), has personally used a Eureka Mower 4 years, and is confident that he can accomplish from one-third to one-half more haying in the same time with a Eureka Mower than can be done with any side-cut machine that is manufactured at this date. One of our customers reports cutting sixteen acres per day with the Eureka Mower purchased of us last season, and as its superior merits are learned we anticipate an extensive sale.

Very respectfully yours,

BARRINGER & WENTWORTH,
Implement Dealers, Ionia, Ionia Co., Mich.

EUREKA.

GRAND RAPIDS, MICH., Sept. 25, 1882.

W. L. LAFFER, GRAND RAPIDS, MICH. :

The 6-foot Eureka Mower I bought of you I consider the best Mower made without any doubt. It will cut six foot wide and run easier than my 4-foot Meadow King, and does it better than any side-cut mower possibly can. Grass dries sooner and cures better, not piling grass up so much as side-cut mower. It has not cost me one cent for repairs. I cut about 150 tons of hay

Yours truly,

M. L. SWEET.

SPRING LAKE, MICH., Sept. 15, 1882.

W. L. LAFFER, GRAND RAPIDS, MICH. :

Dear Sir—The 6-foot cut Eureka Mower I bought of you this spring, has given the best of satisfaction. It works like a charm; have cut eighty acres of swamp grass with it, and have not had a break. It runs lighter and does better work than any four-foot cut mower I have ever seen. In fact, it is the boss.

Yours, etc.,

THOMAS SAVIDGE.

GRAND RAPIDS, MICH., Sept. 25, 1882.

W. L. LAFFER, GRAND RAPIDS, MICH. :

Dear Sir—The 6-foot cut Eureka Mower I bought of you this season, is the best I ever saw. I cut over 130 acres without a break. I mowed four acres in one hour and fifty-eight minutes. I have two Buckeye Mowers, and would rather have one Eureka than both of them, and they are nearly new. The Eureka leaves the grass in such shape that it cures much quicker than with other mowers. I cannot say too much for the Eureka. It is just what every good farmer wants.

GEO. EASMTAN.

PINE LAKE, MICH., Dec. 9, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO. :

The six-foot-cut Mower I had of you gave the greatest satisfaction. 1st. Ease of draft and handling. 2d. Manner in which the grass is left for curing—saving at least once teddering. 3d. Expeditious working, cutting two acres per hour, or nearly twice as much as the common side-draft. Yours truly

J. N. SMITH.

SABETHA, KANSAS, Dec. 21, 1882.

I have been in the pressing and shipping hay business some 15 years, and have tried almost all kinds of mowing machines, and after using the Eureka for some five years, would say truthfully I would not exchange for any other kind if I could get two for this one. I have cut some eighteen acres per day easily, with a small span of mules, weighing only eight or nine hundred each.

I. L. SCHAEFFER.

COLUMBUS, IND., Dec. 20, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower for the last six years, and find it decidedly the best Mower in use in this part of the country. It possesses many advantages over side-cut machines made. Some of which are, it leaves clover, after cut, just as it stood on the ground, not running over it with team and machine, thereby packing it down and rendering it impossible for it to cure out evenly. It will cut sowed satisfactorily for the same reason. It will cut one-third faster and will run lighter cutting six feet than any side-cut machine made cutting four feet. The large drive wheel, and the perfect application of the power, enable the operator to stop and start with perfect ease and without difficulty in the heaviest grass. Its large wheels and easy draft enable the farmer to cut the heaviest millet and Hungarian, when the ground is soft and mellow, with perfect ease, when no side-cut would cut an inch. Perhaps this is too long, but I have not nearly mentioned all the excellencies of the Eureka over any side-cut machine ever made.

JOEL S. DAVIS.

EDINBURG, IND., Dec. 22, 1882.

TO MY NEIGHBOR FARMERS :

I have used a six-foot cut Eureka Mower the past two years, and will say I can cut fifteen acres per day with one team, leaving the grass in as good condition for drying as grass cut with side-cut mower, and gone over with tedder.

I have used several different kinds of mowers, but would not change the Eureka for two side-cuts at the price current for each.

GEORGE W. KING.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

AURORA, W. VA., Dec. 26th, 1882.

In answer to your letter of inquiry concerning the Eureka Mower, I have to say that the "Eurekas" sold by me last season gave perfect satisfaction. I think they are peculiarly adapted to our hilly, mountainous country, as their construction admits of their being used where the side-cut cannot; they possessing the same advantage that a hillside plow has over the common plow with stationary mouldboard when used on steep hillsides.

L. L. McCRUM.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

PATERSON, N. J., Dec. 29, 1882.

Having become agents for the Eureka Mower last season, we advertised and canvassed our territory pretty thoroughly. We were surprised at the readiness with which our farmers grasped the ideas suggesting themselves in the six-feet cut and direct draft. The practical results illustrate such a saving in time and labor that the work of selling your mower is comparatively light. We recommend the Eureka to be first-class in every particular. It also revolutionizes the general principles governing the construction of mowing machines.

Yours respectfully,

VAN SAUN & MUZZY.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

MASON, MICH., Dec. 29th, 1882.

When, at the Spring Fair of Central Michigan Agricultural Society, in June, you proposed to send me a Eureka Mower for trial, I gave it no thought, as I calculated I had a good one; but when getting home and thinking the matter over, concluded to try it, and found it very satisfactory. Perhaps for most farmers, as their land is fitted, the six-foot cut would be preferable; ours worked admirably, run across ditches that we never thought of doing before, and could not with a common side-cut machine. It left the grass in fine condition to cure, and seemed no heavier work for the team, and I do not think I could afford to use a side-cut now if it was given to me.

AMOS F. WOOD, Member Mich. State Agricultural Society.

NEAR TRIADDELPHIA, OHIO CO., W. VA., Jan. 15th, 1883.

MESSRS. THORNBURG BROTHERS, ELM GROVE, W. VA.:

I have tested, to my satisfaction, the Eureka Mower bought of you last season and had previously used the best side-cut machines I could get. I believe the Eureka has advantages over the others sufficient to place it in the lead. Some of these advantages I will name: 1st. In a hilly country like ours it can be used as the side-hill plow is used, and any ground that can be plowed with a side-hill plow can be mowed over with this machine. 2d. In mowing on any ground, the cut grass can be kept together by cutting back and forth as it cannot be with other machines. 3d. The cut grass being left pretty much as it grew, and not afterwards run over, cures quicker. 4th. For mowing in an orchard, or where there are trees or stumps, it has great advantage over other machines. 5th. For lightness of draft and convenience in handling, I have not found any other machine to equal it. In conclusion, I will say that I think the Eureka is the coming Mowing Machine.

W. E. STEWART.

ADDISON, VERMONT, Dec. 30, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower to cut about one hundred acres of grass. The machine had been highly recommended by many of the farmers in Addison Co. I can only say that it more than met my expectation in every respect.

S. W. GREGORY.

BRISTOL, VT., Jan. 2, 1883.

My experience with the seven-foot cut Eureka Mower has been a decided success. I cut one hundred acres of grass for Judge Williams of Monkton in five days. My horses weigh about 1,000 lbs. each, they handle the machine with ease, leaving the cut grass in the best manner to cure and rake.

GEORGE N. DIKE.

BRISTOL, VT., Jan. 2, 1883.

After using a six-foot cut Eureka Mower one summer I am convinced that it is the best mower that I have ever seen in use.

A. FERGUSON.

VERGENNES, VT., Dec. 30, 1882.

I have used a six-foot cut Eureka Mower to cut all of my grass the past four years, both upland and interval meadows, in all about two hundred acres yearly. I consider the Eureka in all respects the best mower yet invented and can recommend them to any farmer.

F. D. BARTON.

CENTREVILLE, MICH., June 29, 1882.

I bought of Mosher & Langton one Eureka Mower 6-foot cut, used my 3 year old colts on it and they handle it with ease. I would not take a side-cut machine as a gift.

B. F. FREEMAN.

BRISTOL, VERMONT, Jan'y 2, 1883.

One summer's experience with a six-foot cut Eureka Mower, convinces me that it is a decided improvement over all side-cut mowers. I can cut one-third more grass with it, and it leaves the grass in better position to rake; in short, I am highly pleased with the Eureka, and can cheerfully recommend them to any farmer.

DORUS W. PARMELEE.

WALTHAM, VERMONT, Jan'y 3, 1883.

I have used the Eureka Mower for the past nine years, cutting over one hundred acres yearly; the past two years I have used the New Model six-foot cut, and consider it the nearest perfection of any mowing machine I have ever seen.

J. H. SPRAGUE.

BRISTOL, VERMONT, Jan'y, 1883.

I have used a five-foot cut Eureka Mower the past two years; it is the best mower I have ever seen used.

S. N. ROCKWOOD.

WEST GLOUCESTER, MAINE, Jan. 2, 1883.

I purchased one of your six-foot cut Mowers of your agents in Portland, Blanchard Bros., last summer, and it has given perfect satisfaction, both in smooth and rough mowing. I would not exchange it for the best side-cut mower I ever saw at work. Used it in cutting grain and liked it much. Yours is the mower of the day.

WM. DUMONT.

WEST KINGSTON, R. I., January 1st, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co., TOWANDA, PA.:

Gentlemen—I cannot speak too highly of the Eureka. I am not exaggerating when I say that I would pay for it \$100 rather than have any side-draft machine given me.

There is a prejudice against the Eureka because one horse travels through the standing grass, and hardly any person can be convinced that it is no objection until they see it used.

I advise farmers in need of a mower to procure the Eureka, and they will not regret it.

Yours truly,

JOHN G. CLARKE.

WAVERLY, COFFEY Co., KAN., Dec. 30, 1882.

I run the seven-feet mower which you sent to Henry Urban, and mowed 300 acres of weeds. No other machine would cut them. The Eureka went straight through without breaking; it also works fine in prairie grass; no other mower will cut this grass like the Eureka.

JOHN LUDY.

TECUMSEH, MICH., Jan. 3, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

Last season your General Agent came here and requested us to handle the Eureka Mower. We had a good deal of doubt about it being what was claimed for it; but let him send us sample mower. We did not recommend nor try to sell it; but after the haying commenced we put it into the worst grass we could get to test it, and all that saw it with us were surprised with the lightness of draft and the superior condition it left the grass for curing. We sold it on the ground to Mr. M. Davidson, one of our leading farmers. He considers it far superior to any side-cut mower in every respect. Another, Mr. George Lester, was trying the Iron King at the time, and, after seeing the Eureka work, returned the Iron King and ordered the Eureka, and he tells us he cannot say too much in its praise; so this season we are not afraid to recommend it to the farmers, and we expect a good trade, and ere long the Eureka will be the leading mower in this part of the country. D. P. ANTHONY & CO.

DEFIANCE, KAN., January 1st, 1883.

I used the 6-foot Eureka Mower last season. Think it as light draft as any mower that I ever used. Cut 265 acres with pony team. Could cut 15 acres per day. I think for a prairie country it is "boss."

Respectfully,

DAVID PHILLIPS.

OAKLAND, MD., January 6th, 1883.

After trying five different side-draft mowers and fully testing their merits, I am fully persuaded that the Eureka is worth them all. I have been using one of your mowers for eight years, mowing over one hundred acres each harvest, and with little repair will last several years yet. I advise farmers before purchasing a mower to give the Eureka Mower a fair trial.

I. I. THOMPSON.

OAKLAND, MD., January 6th, 1883.

I never saw the Eureka Mower until I bought one of I. O. Thompson, your agent. I was always under the impression that, if these side-drafts would cut in front instead of on the side, that I would like to have a mower. When I saw the Eureka advertised, I applied at once for a six-foot Eureka. After cutting my harvest (which we were enabled to do in half the time that it formerly took us), I was so well pleased with it that I would not exchange it for all the side-drafts I ever saw.

SAMUEL GNEGY.

ACCIDENT, GARRETT Co., MD., Jan. 6, 1883.

I have been using the Eureka for over nine years. My machine required some little repair, and, whilst applying to the agent for extras, was persuaded to try the new model. I am satisfied that your recent improvements are a grand success. My land is very rough and hilly, and I defy any of them with their side draft mowers to mow nicer and with less labor to horses in a day than I can with the Eureka.

SILAS BEECHY.

OAKLAND, MD., Jan. 6, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER Co.:

The five Eureka Mowers you sent me last spring went like hot cakes. I find in what little experience I have had as agent for your mower that the best recommendation it has is its work in the field; for in this place it fully recommends itself.

Yours truly,

J. O. THOMPSON.

OAKLAND, MD., Jan. 6, 1883.

The six-foot Eureka Mower that I bought of your agent last summer cuts a wider swath, draws easier, and leaves the grass in a better condition to cure and rake than any side draft I ever saw.

G. W. WARD.

OAKLAND, GARRETT Co., MD.

I have not found the place where I do not like the Eureka Mower better than any side-cut machine I ever saw. I find it to be unequalled for mowing in orchards, hill sides, or grass in any condition, either standing or lodged, leaving the grass in good condition for curing.

HENRY THOMPSON.

KITSMILLERSVILLE, GARRETT Co., Jan. 6, 1883.

Your machine is a splendid one, and excels any I have ever handled.

THOMAS HARVEY.

OAKLAND, MD., Jan. 6, 1883.

It is with pleasure that I recommend the Eureka Mowers to the farmers of this county. My farm is very rough, and I unhesitatingly pronounce the Eureka the best mower I have ever tried.

GEORGE STEYER.

LEESBURG, VA., December 26th, 1882.

MESSRS. COCKEY & Co.:

In reply to your inquiry, as to how I like the Eureka Mower, I would say that in my opinion it is the mower for this country. It is constructed upon correct principles, being a direct draft machine, and leaves the grass untrdden, so that it dries rapidly. The draft is very light and there is no weight on the horse's neck at all.

J. B. BEVERLEY.

[From Hon. Henry Heaton, member of the Virginia Legislature.]

LEESBURG, VA., January 11th, 1883.

COCKEY & CO., LEESBURG, VA.:

I purchased of you last summer one of your five-foot cut Eureka Mowers upon the recommendation of Mr. Cockey. I used it in cutting my clover and timothy, and I also cut 41 acres of clover for seed with it. I am satisfied it is the best machine in use, the draft is less, the cut is wider and the driver is enabled to see the horses and the machine at the same time. There were no breakages as with other machines, because of the lightness of draft. The grass trampled down by the horse walking through it is cut clean by the machine on its return. I cut the heaviest crop of clover for seed ever cut in this county; it was a good deal beaten down by storms, but the machine ran under it, and after being cut stood it up on end. The grass cures much better from the fact that it stands up and is not run over and mashed down by the machine or horses passing over it. In my judgment the direct draft machine must, as soon as its advantage is known, supersede the side-bar altogether, as the whole runs upon wheels and no part drags on the ground, as in case of the side-bar.

Yours respectfully,

HENRY HEATON.

LEESBURG, VA., December 23d, 1882.

MESSRS. COCKEY & CO.:

In reply to your inquiry as to how I like the Eureka Mower that I bought through you, I will say: In my opinion it is very decidedly the best mower I have ever seen in use. It is simpler and more durable in construction, does better work and more of it, and with greater ease to the horses, than any machine I have ever seen. Mine is a five-foot cut, and the draft is so light, I regret that I did not get a six or seven-foot cut.

Yours truly,

J. W. FOSTER.

CHAPLIN, KENTUCKY, December 25, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

In reply to your request as to what I think of the Eureka as a machine for dealers to handle will say, that any dealer in machinery ought to be, and is supposed to be a judge a machinery. Granting that to be true, the mere cut, and description of the machine, as shown in your circulars, ought to be sufficient evidence to any dealer, that the "Eureka" was the Mower of the 19th century, and consequently the one above all others to recommend to his patrons.

W. F. ROWLAND.

CHAPLIN, KENTUCKY, December 25, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

I bought the Eureka of your agent, Mr. W. F. Rowland, (without having seen it) on his personal guarantee. I cut about 60 acres of heavy grass on very hilly, rocky, and stumpy land and can say it is a perfect Mower, especially adapted to hillside cutting, and of course, on level land it has no equal. I consider it equal to the highest indorsements given, it in your circulars. No one can make a mistake in buying the "Eureka."

C. W. RUSH.

RENEY POINT, WEST VA., Jan'y 15, 1883.

I used one of the Eureka machines last season, and will say it gave good satisfaction wherever I have used it, and that was on all kinds of ground. I like the way it leaves the grass. Needs no teddering so far as I have used it, and think they are a very promising mower.

L. P. SISSON.

ELM GROVE, WEST VA., Jan'y 1st, 1883.

I used one of the Eureka five-foot mowers last season, and would unhesitatingly recommend it to all farmers for the following reasons: Simplicity of construction, lightness of draft, the condition in which it leaves the cut grass, and its adaptability to hillsides—in a word, it is the true principle of grass cutting and curing.

JOHN N. THORNBURGH.

ELM GROVE, WEST VA., Jan'y 1st, 1883.

We have handled the Eureka Mower the past season, and find it takes well with the farmers and gives general satisfaction. The future looks bright and promising, and we think the Eureka is destined to supersede all side-cut machines. Even those who handle other machines in this county cannot successfully find fault with the Eureka.

THORNBURGH BROS.

VICKSBURG, MICH., Dec. 21st, 1882.

I have used the Eureka Mower this year, and have cut seventy acres of grass with it. I can gladly say for lightness of draft and ease of management, it surpasses any mower in the market.

EUGENE SMALLY.

PARK TOWNSHIP, ST. JOSEPH CO., MICH., Dec. 21, 1882.

I am happy to say that the Eureka Mower I bought of Richel & Kimble, of Vicksburg, Mich., last year, far surpasses my expectations in ease of draft, and especially in the shape that it leaves the grass after cutting.

A. J. SINGLETON.

THREE RIVERS, MICH., Dec. 13, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

In answer to your inquiry as to how the Eureka Mower pleased me, I would say, in short, *most excellently*, and wish to say that I consider it the *very best*. Some of my grass was very heavy and badly lodged; but everywhere and in all kinds of grass it gave me entire satisfaction. I would cheerfully recommend it to all, and think it will very soon be the leading mower of all.

D. W. FEAS.

THREE RIVERS, MICH., Dec. 20, 1882.

With regard to the Eureka Mower, will say we took the agency one year ago, having very little confidence in the mower, or that we could sell any; but after receiving the sample and showing it up to the farmers, they liked the principle, and we sold ten (10) mowers this season of 1882. They gave the best of satisfaction; the six-foot is full as light draft as any four and a half foot side-cut mower in the market. We expect to sell a car load here at Three Rivers for the trade in 1883. We think the side-cut mower days are ended, and that the Eureka will supersede them altogether.

SCHOCH & GRIFFITH.

VICKSBURG, MICH., January 1st, 1883.

We are pleased to say that we sold the new model Eureka Mower, manufactured at Towanda, Pennsylvania, last season. It has given us and our customers universal satisfaction. We think it very durable. We find it fully one-third less draft than any side-cut mower, and that the grass cures at least in one-third less time. We find also that we do not have to run our rake teeth as near to the ground into two or three inches, as with other mowers, thereby getting a very much better quality of grass. We would cheerfully recommend the Eureka Mower to any and all dealers as one of the very best in the market to sell.

RICHEL & KIMBLE.

SCHOOLCRAFT, MICH., January 1st, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

The *new model* Eureka Mowers we purchased of your agents, McLeod & Roberts, last year, we are pleased to say give us entire satisfaction. Your claims of advantage over other mowers are fully sustained by practical operation. We tested them in all conditions of grass. The size we bought (the six-foot cut) runs easier than any side-cut mower. It leaves the grass in excellent condition for curing, is easily handled, and impossible to clog, even in the worst condition of grass. We cheerfully recommend the new model Eureka Mower to all wishing to purchase mowers, and believe, if given a trial, that they will conclude with us that they are the best.

GEO. G. CROSS, Jr.,
S. S. MCCRARY.

MUIR, MICH., January 5th, 1883.

BARRINGER & WENTWORTH, IONIA, MICH.:

Yours of the 1st, asking how I liked the Eureka Mower, received. In answer would say I like it well, for a number of reasons. 1st, your draft is straight (no side draft). 2d, It is very light draft. 3d, You can see any obstructions very plainly. 4th, In going around stumps you can get close to both sides. 5th, *You can do something in a day*. A good walking team can easily cut sixteen acres per day with a six-foot Eureka Mower. Take it all in all, it is the boss.

Yours very respectfully,

N. B. HAYES.

MASON, MICH., August 6th, 1882.

We have used the Eureka Mower this year; I am well pleased with it. I consider the six-foot Eureka draws as light as any side-cut mower cutting four to four and a half feet. I consider the Eureka superior not only on smooth ground over side-cut mowers, but it will pass over ditches and around stumps much better than any side-cut mower.

A. F. WOOD,

Member of State Board of Agriculture.

NEW ORLEANS, LA., Dec. 18th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER COMPANY, TOWANDA, PA.:

I have given the Eureka Mower a fair trial the past season and cheerfully bear testimony to its excellence in all respects. No other mower that I have seen is to be compared with the Eureka; and I think it justly deserves what you claim for it.

E. M. HUDSON.

PORTLAND, ME., Dec. 27th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Gentlemen—We entered upon the sale of the "Eureka" with "fear and trembling," it was such a "new departure" in the "mowing machine" line. Our first year's sales were not satisfactory. Farmers viewed it with a distrustful eye. It was too much of an "elephant" for them to swallow. What few did purchase were however very enthusiastic over it—declared "there were no other machine like it in the market." Beat everything. "The half was not told, etc." Finding such a reception from the hands of users we put one this year in our own field, "Broadmoor Farm."

We used it through the whole season, mowing 150 acres, and never broke a thing on the machine. It seems to ride over every obstruction with perfect ease and steadiness, cutting its tremendous swath clean and smooth as the most expert mower of "ye olden time" could wish. Our horses did not sweat nearly as bad as with the old "side-cut," and they came out of the season's work looking fresher and in better condition than when they drew the small "side-cut" mower.

Our man was heartily pleased with it any way, and will vote for the "Eureka" every time.

We shall order a car load of machines to start with, and prospects indicate a still larger sale the coming season.

GEO. BLANCHARD & BRO.

COLDWATER, MICH., July 29th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

Gentlemen—The Eureka we find all you claim for it; we have been putting them up into different parts of the county and have got a first-class introduction of it. We want the entire county for 1883.

A. CHANDLER & SON.

PAXTON, ILL., Nov. 28th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO., TOWANDA, PA.:

The Eureka Mowers we have sold have given the best of satisfaction, and we would recommend them to farmers above all others for lightness of draft and speedy cutting, and all other principles combined.

WILLIAM WALKER & CO.

LAURENS, IOWA, Dec. 4th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

I am well pleased with the Eureka Mower bought of you last summer. It is all that you claim for it. For ease of draft and rapidity of cutting, it is all that can be desired. I cut one hundred tons of upland prairie hay before grinding a section, and the last acre was cut as smooth as the first, and with no apparent difference of draft. The work was equally well done with the team walking fast or slow.

RUFUS GREENE.

WAVERLY, KANSAS, Dec. 2, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Having received your letter asking for my opinion of the Mower, we have cut 300 acres of prairie hay and heavy weeds this season with one team, and have not had any repairs. I think it is the best mower for this country, as it does one-third more work than any other mower I ever saw. We have the only one that I have seen here. Every one that saw it work was well pleased with it.

H. D. URBAN.

The Great Centennial Field Trial, Philadelphia, June 26, 27, 28 and 29, 1876, the Eureka receives the Highest Award,

GRAND MEDAL AND DIPLOMA.

NAME OF MACHINE.	WIDTH OF CUT.	DRAFT IN LBS.	DRAFT PER FT.
Direct Draft Eureka Mower	6 feet	172	29
Screw Mower	4 " 2½ in.	235	56
Ball's Keystone Mower	4 " 5½	228	51
Screw Cam Mower	4 " 3	186	44
Buckeye Mower	4 " 3	200	47
Buckeye Mower	4 " 4½	178	41
Buckeye Mower	4 " 0	171	43
Johnston Small Mower	4 " 2½	204	48
Hubbard Meadow Lark Mower	4 " 0	not tested	
Hubbard Large Combined Mower	4 " 6½	206	45
Wood Special Mower	4 " 4	222½	51
Haymaker Mower	4 " 3	165	49
Wheeler No. 6 Mower	4 " 7½	206	45
Kirby No. 3 Mower	4 " 11½	238	48
Kirby Special Mower	4 " 3½	220	51
Acme Special Mower	4 " 3	182½	43
Champion One-horse, provided with pole. 3	4 " 9½	131	34
Champion Light Special Mower	4 " 1	declined test	
Champion No. 4 Mower	4 " 6	declined test	
Champion No. 4 Mower	4 " 11	declined test	
Peerless Small Mower	4 " 4	157	36
McCormic Small Mower	4 " ¾	190	47
Meadow King Mower	4 " 7	205	45

MACY, IND., July 4th, 1882.

I have been using the Eureka Mower for five years, and find it a most excellent machine. I have cut from 100 to 200 acres each year, and would rather have my machine now than a new one of any other kind.

JAS. W. HURST.

WILTON, IOWA, Dec. 4th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

I used the Eureka Mower you sent me for trial, and I will say with pleasure it is the finest mower that ever went into a hay field. I cut 150 acres without one cent of repairs. The advantage this mower has over any one I ever saw used is: 1st, It cuts six feet as easy as any side-draft cuts four feet. 2d, The hay cures in half the time that any other mower cuts where the team tramps the hay down after it is cut. I would not use any other mower.

J. C. ABBOTT.

ASSUMPTION, ILL., Dec. 12th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Last season we sold and thoroughly tried two of your six foot mowers, and found that a good team would cut 20 acres of heavy grass per day with ease, and leave the grass in such a good shape that it cures in about one-half the time that it would with the old style mowers. In fact it is just the machine we have been looking for, for years, and we want the exclusive sale of it for this territory. We will in a few days send you testimonials from the parties who bought the Eureka Machine we sold them.

Yours respectfully,

S. A. SHAFFER & CO.

LARAMIE CITY, WYOMING, Nov. 27th, 1882.

My opinion is that the Eureka is a very good machine; it gives splendid satisfaction for the first one that was ever in this country, and the people here will try a car load next season, as every one that has seen it work, has taken to it.

Mr. Conard Khor, of Montana, first informed me about them; he saw them at the Centennial in 1876, and he wanted me to try them, as he thought they would be a very durable machine for this country, and so I think now they will be what we want here.

C. H. HUTTON.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

MUNCIE, IND., Dec. 21st, 1882.

Will say in answer to yours 15th, that Eureka is all that is claimed for it, and *can't see* how a dealer can do *without them*; all we sold the past season gave the best of satisfaction, and each one sold the past year will sell two or three the next.

Very truly,

BOYCE & RUFKIN.

COON RAPIDS, IOWA, Nov. 27, 1882.

I mow right along with the Eureka Mower with a 1,950 pound team of four year old mares. In the common prairie grass of this country it gives admirable satisfaction. It is evidently the machine.

O. C. WOODROW.

SOUTH BEND, IND., Jan'y 23, 1883.

Last season we bought and used a six-foot Eureka Mower on our marsh and upland meadows, and it gave us the best of satisfaction. For general use we think it superior to any side-cut machine, and can cheerfully recommend it as first-class in every respect.

F. H. JOHNSON & CO.

[Mr. C. Studebaker, President of the Studebaker Bro's Wagon Works, is the Co. of above firm.]

BARKER'S RANCHE, LOOP CITY, NEBRASKA, Sept. 15th, 1882.

The six-foot Eureka Mower was duly received, and gives entire satisfaction. Last year I made inquiries of a dealer in agricultural machinery about the Eureka Mower. He expressed the opinion that it was too weak to do good work here, so I purchased a side-draft machine. We cut about one hundred and seventy tons with the Eureka, and about the same quantity with two four-foot side-draft machines, and the breakage was *much less* on the Eureka than on the side-drafts. It cuts smoother, leaves the grass in a better position to dry, cuts nearly as much as both of our side-drafts, and is as easy for the team. When we order another it will be a seven-foot machine. I think that either of our teams could draw one easily. I believe it is the best mower that a poor man can buy, for he can cut his grass for about one-half of the expense that he could with a side-draft machine.

Yours truly,

GEORGE BICKFORD,

Agent for Barker's Ranche.

FARMINGTON, N. H.

CHAMBERLIN BROS., AGENTS FOR THE EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Gentlemen—At your request I feel very willing to give my opinion of the merits of the different mowers which I have been acquainted with and used in my field for last fifteen or twenty years. I have used three different kinds previous to the time you procured the Eureka for me, which were of the side-cut, and I find many advantages in favor of the Eureka; as for any reason the horses are stopped the land is all finished and the grass is left in such a loose and light way that the hay will make very much sooner than when cut by those I formerly used. The Eureka I have used the last two seasons, and find it to be very light work for the horses, cuts the grass smooth and even as a floor, and I think I never cut my hay in so short a time as since I used the Eureka. The last season I used two of the Eureka Mowers, and cut some over forty tons of hay.

Respectfully,

JOSIAH B. EDGERLY.

SOUTH ALTON, N. H., Jan. 15, 1883.

I purchased a six-foot cut Eureka Mower of Messrs. Chamberlin Bros. last summer, and I think it has a *lighter draft* than the four-foot side-bar mowers. I like it the best of any kind I ever used, and I think it surpasses any other in the market.

Respectfully,

JOSEPH DREW.

WILSON FARM, L. GILMANTON, N. H., January 10th, 1883.

MESSRS. CHAMBERLIN BROTHERS:

I take pleasure in saying that the six-foot cut Eureka Mower I purchased of you last season gave excellent satisfaction. We cut some over 100 acres of grass with it and found it in all respects as you stated. I have purchased and used on this place five different side-cut machines, beginning with the Ketchum in 1854, and have tried two others, endeavoring in each case to get the best and would now, for our use, purchase a Eureka in preference to taking any other machine as a gift.

Respectfully,

G. W. SANBORN.

NEW DURHAM, N. H., January 15th, 1883.

We have used a six-foot Eureka Mower the past season and consider it the best machine we have ever seen. We would advise farmers before purchasing a mower to give the six-foot Eureka a fair trial; we think if they would, they would not have a side-draft at all. We would not accept the gift of any side-draft machine, and be obliged to wear it out.

HOWARD S. JONES.
DANA P. JONES.

TECUMSEH, MICH., Jan. 4th, 1882.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

I purchased one of your mowers last season of your agents, D. P. Anthony & Co., and after giving it a thorough trial and cutting fifty-five acres of grass, I am glad to recommend it to those wishing to buy a mower; you can cut one-third more grass in the same length of time with the same team than with any side-cut mower, and it leaves the grass in such shape that it does not need teddering.

M. DAVIDSON.

NEWARK, DELAWARE, 1883.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Gentlemen—Your circular of Dec. 15th, 1882, came to hand, and have no hesitancy in saying for doing good work easily and more of it for the Horse power expended than any other machine now in use I have seen. Messrs. Samuel Lindsey, Andrew Jackson Hassmyer, Joseph C. Rankin and John Reynolds, all of whom have had the Eureka through me, and put the question after having used the machine for two years, if you were going to buy another machine what one would you buy, they all answered "The Eureka."

Respectfully,

WILLIAM DEAN.

SARANAC, IONIA CO., MICH.

Dear Sir—I used the Eureka six-feet Mower last season, and I consider it the best mower manufactured. I mowed thirty-seven acres for one of my neighbors on which the stone had not been picked since the ground was plowed for wheat, and for which reason two other parties whom the owner had expected to mow for him would not bring their mowers on the farm. I mowed 8³/₅ acres one afternoon on the above described ground with a span of horses which weighed 2,125 pounds, and I asked several parties at supper time, when I finished work at night, if they could see a wet hair on either horse, and not one of them answered yes (of course I did not ask them to look under the collar). Another advantage, besides lightness of draft, is the condition in which it leaves the grass to cure. I had one field which would average most three tons to the acre, and it cured nicely, while one of my neighbors who joins farms with me had to turn every swath in a ten-acre lot, which was not as heavy as mine, but mowed with a new Champion. I have heard that he wished he had bought a Eureka.

I consider hay worth from one to two dollars a ton more if mowed by the Eureka than with any other machine, as the grass stands up or lays so loose that it cures at the bottom before the top is burned.

Yours truly,

D. H. ENGLISH.

PATERSON, N. J.

The Eureka Mower I bought last season has given me entire satisfaction. I have used it on stony ground, on side hills, and on smooth ground, and it has always worked satisfactorily. I have had three machines before this one, two Clippers and one Wood, and I have mowed with the Champion and the Buckeye, and would not give my Eureka for all of them, or sell it for two hundred dollars if I could not get another one.

G. RYERSON.

NEW BRUNSWICK, N. J.

I have used the Eureka Mower two years with great satisfaction in every particular. Have used it in all kinds of grass, and on all kinds of ground. Will speak of bog land in particular. The land had water out at the time and was very rough; it worked well and to my entire satisfaction. I have cut 150 acres at a cost of thirty cents only for repairs. All who see the advantages of the Eureka Mower say, "that is the right idea" or, "it will take the place of side-cuts."

W. A. CROWELL.

I have used the Eureka seven years, and have used it on all kinds of ground and in all kinds of grass. I have never yet found a place where it did not do good work, nor have I ever had a mower that would equal it, and I have used three different kinds of side-cut.

SOUTH ALTON, N. H.

DAVID H. MORRISON.

FARMINGTON, N. H.

MESSRS. CHAMBERLIN BROS.:

I have used the Eureka Mower bought of you two seasons, and am satisfied that it is the best machine. It is easier managed than any side-draft machine; leaves the grass in better condition to make; will cut grass better on rough ground; is not so liable to clog; can mow on one side of the field, therefore can commence to rake that mowed first.

MOSES L. WENTWORTH.

GILMANTON IRON WORKS, N. H.

Gents—The Eureka Mowing Machine, purchased in 1878, has given the best of satisfaction. I regard it a great improvement over any side-cutter machine I have ever seen. I used the "Clipper" for eleven years, and regarded it a good machine until I purchased the Eureka. I would not now exchange it for any machine I have ever known.

Yours truly,

THOMAS COGSWELL.

COMPTON FARM, SPRING STATION, KY.

DELONG & CO., LEXINGTON, KY.:

Last spring I ordered two Eureka Mowers, six-foot cut, one for myself and the other for Mr. Alexander. I mention what strikes me as its best features. 1st—It leaves all the grass standing light and exposed through and through to the air, thereby securing as perfect and uniform curing as can be obtained even by using a tedder. 2d—Its wide cut—six feet—nearly twice that of ordinary machines. 3d—Ease of draft. I have never seen a mower that pulled the team as little. 4th—Apparent simplicity and durability of structure. Mr. Broadhead thinks equally high of his machine, and we agree that we would rather buy a Eureka Mower than to accept any other make as a present.

LESLIE COMBS.

FERRISBURGH, VT.

Wanting a new machine for the season of 1881, I was advised by a friend to try a six-foot Eureka. I was very much prejudiced against the machine, having used three different styles of side-cuts, among them the "Clipper," which is a good machine; but am now fully convinced that the Eureka is the best I ever used. Its points of excellence are: Ease of draft, the manner of leaving the hay to dry (hay tedders being useless, even after rain), horse not traveling on the grass after being cut, thereby keeping it clean and nice. I can mow *anywhere* a side-cut will mow, and where it will not.

RALPH M. SATTERLY.

PASCACK, N. J.

The Eureka Mower purchased from you two years ago gives entire satisfaction. I have done all my mowing with it for two seasons, and have not even broken a section. It is handled with more ease and does its work as well as any mower I ever saw. I can mow my meadow with the Eureka, which it was impossible for me to do with the Buckeye, which I used for ten years previous to my purchasing the Eureka.

Yours, etc.,

N. B. ACKERMAN.

FT. SEYBER, PENDLETON CO., W. VA.

EUREKA MOWER CO.:

Gents—We bought one of your six-foot mowers last summer of your agent at Broadway, Va., Rockingham County, and I was not only pleased but delighted with its performance. I like it so much better than the side-cut machine that I would not give it for any side-cut mower I ever saw, or ever used; we have used the Wood nearly ever since the war, and have tried the Champion, and the Eureka Mower is superior to either of them. I say, buy the Eureka.

Yours truly,

ALLEN DYER

NORTH BARNSTEAD, N. H.

I have used the Eureka Mowing Machine three years. It runs easier and does more work than any machine that I ever run, and I think it the best that is made.
Yours truly,
JOHN F. GARLAND.

ALTON, N. H.

I was one of the first that used the Eureka Mower in this section, and, of course, it was an experiment, but its principles I believed in, and after eight years' experience with this machine I am convinced beyond a doubt of its superiority over any side-cut mower in my knowledge. It does its work well; is light draft and durable; has not cost me over \$5.00 for running wear and repairs in these eight years.
Respectfully,
GEORGE H. STRAW.

NEW DURHAM, N. H.

I commenced using mowing machines twenty years ago; used three different kinds of side-draft machines. Three years ago I sold the last side-draft, and purchased a five-foot Eureka, which I have run since to my entire satisfaction, without any expense for repairs. I am of the opinion that I could now run a six-foot cut just as well as a five-foot. I would not accept the gift of any side-draft machine on condition that I should wear it out. The Eureka cuts a wider swath, draws easier, and leaves the grass in far better condition to cure than any side-draft. On our rough New Hampshire farms it works with comparative ease, where but little could be done with a side-draft. Success to the Eureka!

HORATIO G. CHAMBERLIN.

WOODBURN, SPRING STATION, KENTUCKY.

DE LONG & Co., LEXINGTON, KY.:

Dear Sirs—We bought a six-foot Eureka Mower this spring and have used it in our clover and timothy, cutting two-thirds or three-fourths of our entire crop with it. It was never out of repair the entire time. It cut the crop smooth and clean, cut full six feet with lighter draft than the ordinary four-foot Mower; our machine seems as good as new after cutting about 125 acres of clover and timothy. It seems to cut twice as fast as other Mowers we have used. I am enthusiastic over our Eureka Mower, and would not have any other.
Yours,
L. BROADHEAD.

WATTHAM, VT.

C. A. BOOTH & SONS, VERGENNES, VT.:

Dear Sirs—We certify that we have used the Eureka five-foot Mower eight years, mowing one hundred acres each year, and like the kind of mower better than any other which we have used, or seen used, in the hands of neighboring farmers. We think there is a deal of common sense in the "make-up,"—the large wheel, the size of knife, the direct draft, the location of the driver's seat away from danger of accident, and from which any obstruction can be seen at once, the easy spring seat, and all parts so adjusted as to work harmoniously. Our team is light—less than 1,000 pounds each—yet they handle the Eureka with comparative ease, never having had sore necks or shoulders. The objection to the traveling of one horse in the grass is only imaginary. With our present knowledge of mowers, if our necessity required a new mower this year or in the near future, we should prefer the Eureka to others every time.
Yours,
WRIGHT & JACKMAN.

WESTWOOD, N. J.

ACKERMAN BROS., PASCACK, N. J.:

For the past year I have used the Eureka Mower with entire satisfaction. Having used the Buckeye Mower for a number of years previous, I would say that I consider the draft of six-foot Eureka no heavier than that of the Buckeye cutting four foot three inches, and that it leaves the grass entirely loose when cut, and in a better position to dry. I have also used it in orchards, and find that it works better, and is handled with more ease than any other mower that I have ever used.
J. D. BOGERT.

CARTER, MURRAY Co., GA.

Your machine is a splendid one, and excels any I have ever handled.
SAMUEL M. CARTER.

5 ft cut Eureka Komer (Retail)
\$80

6 " " " Komer " \$90

7 " " " " " 100

Write S. J. Green

Charlotte

Cater Co

Receive Prompt Attention any time

Wholesale Price shipped from
Detroit 2013 Detroit Junction

5 ft cut Eureka Komer \$60

6 " " " " " \$70 { Price

7 " " " " " \$80

Cash 50¢ off

Find full directions in
the 2001 B of



PRESERVE WITH CARE.

Correspondence Collected.

Address all Correspondence to



S. T. Green,

General Agent for MICH. &
NORTHERN INDIANA.

—not fiction—
Residence & P. O. Address

Chaplain, Mich.